

LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT P. SPRETNAK  
 Robert P. Spretnak, Esq. (Bar No. 5135)  
 8275 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200  
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89123  
 Telephone: 702-454-4900  
 Fax: 702-938-1055  
 Email: bob @ spretnak.com  
 Attorney for Plaintiff Terry Chi

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.  
 Ethan D. Thomas, Esq. (Bar No. 12874)  
 Diana G. Dickinson, Esq. (Bar No. 13477)  
 Luke W. Molleck, Esq. (Bar No. 14405)  
 3960 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 300  
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169-5937  
 Telephone: 702-862-8800  
 Fax: 702-862-8811  
 Email: edthomas@littler.com  
 ddickinson@littler.com  
 lmolleck@littler.com  
 Attorneys for Defendants Clark County School District, Mary "Mare" Mazur,  
 Cedric Cole, Jesus Jara, and Brenda Larsen-Mitchell

BLACK & WADHAMS  
 Rusty Graf, Esq. (Bar No. 6322)  
 Paul E. Larsen, Esq. (Bar No. 3756)  
 10777 W. Twain Avenue, Suite 300  
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135  
 Telephone: 702-869-8801  
 Fax: 702-869-2669  
 Email: rgraf@blackwadhams.com  
 plarsen@blackwadhams.com  
 Attorneys for Defendant Southern Nevada Public Television

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

TERRY CHI,

Plaintiff

v.

CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT,  
 MARY "MARE" MAZUR, in her official  
 capacity and in her individual capacity; CEDRIC  
 COLE, in his official capacity and in his  
 individual capacity; JESUS JARA,  
 in his official capacity; BRENDA LARSEN-  
 MITCHELL, in her official capacity; and  
 SOUTHERN NEVADA PUBLIC  
 TELEVISION,

Defendants

Case No.: 2:24-cv-02014-CDS-BNW

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO  
 EXTEND TIME FOR PLAINTIFF TO  
 FILE HER OPPOSITION TO THE  
 FOLLOWING MOTIONS TO  
 DISMISS:**

**(1) DEFENDANTS CLARK COUNTY  
 SCHOOL DISTRICT, MARY  
 "MARE" MAZUR, CEDRIC COLE,  
 JESUS JARA, AND BRENDA  
 LARSEN-MITCHELL'S PARTIAL  
 MOTION TO DISMISS [ECF No. 22];  
 and (2) DEFENDANT SOUTHERN  
 NEVADA PUBLIC TELEVISION'S  
 MOTION TO DISMISS [ECF No. 24]  
 (SECOND REQUEST)**

[ECF No. 36]

1 Plaintiff TERRY CHI, by and through her attorney Robert P. Spretnak, Esq.; Defendants  
2 CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT, a political subdivision of the State of Nevada; MARY  
3 “MARE” MAZUR, in her official capacity and in her individual capacity; CEDRIC COLE, in his  
4 official capacity and in his individual capacity; JESUS JARA, in his official capacity; and  
5 BRENDA LARSEN-MITCHELL, in her official capacity, by and through their attorneys Ethan D.  
6 Thomas, Esq., Diana G. Dickinson, Esq., and Luke W. Molleck, Esq.; and Defendant SOUTHERN  
7 NEVADA PUBLIC TELEVISION, a Nevada nonprofit corporation, by and through its attorneys  
8 Rusty Graf, Esq., and Paul E. Larsen, Esq.; do hereby stipulate and agree to extend the deadline for  
9 Plaintiff TERRY CHI to file her points and authorities in opposition to the following motions to  
10 dismiss: (1) Defendants Clark County School District, Mary “Mare” Mazur, Cedric Cole, Jesus Jara,  
11 and Brenda Larsen-Mitchell’s Partial Motion To Dismiss [ECF No. 22]; and (2) Defendant Southern  
12 Nevada Public Television’s Motion To Dismiss [ECF No. 24] for an additional 17 days, to **March**  
13 **17, 2025**. This is the second request to extend the time for filing points and authorities in opposition  
14 to these motions to dismiss. The first motion to dismiss, ECF No. 22, was filed on January 10, 2025,  
15 and, therefore, pursuant to LR 7-2(b), the original deadline for the opposition to that dispositive  
16 motion was **January 24, 2025**. The second motion to dismiss, ECF No. 24, was filed on January  
17 14, 2025, and, therefore, pursuant to LR 7-2(b), the original deadline for the opposition to that  
18 dispositive motion was **January 28, 2025**. By prior Stipulation and Order, as approved by this  
19 Court, ECF No. 28, these deadlines were extended to **February 28, 2025**.

20 There is good cause for this additional extension.

21 In the period of since the original deadlines for filing these motions, the schedule for the  
22 attorney for Ms. Chi has been completely filled. Ms. Chi’s attorney is a solo practitioner. He was  
23 on a scheduled vacation, outside the United States, from January 28, 2025, to February 11, 2025.  
24 Upon his return two weeks ago, he prepared and filed oppositions to three dispositive motions in a  
25 related case pending in the Clark County District Court, *Terry Chi v. Mary “Mare” Mazur, an*  
26 *individual; Debra Solt, an individual; Douglas Bradford, an individual; Michele Kane, an*

1 *individual; and Sun City Anthem Community Association, Inc., a Nevada non-profit corporation,*  
2 Case No. A-24-901963-C. He also attended a deposition in another matter. He has to prepare Early  
3 Neutral Evaluation settlement conference statements in two matters pending before this Court,  
4 including this case. He has to prepare initial disclosures in this matter prior to the upcoming  
5 settlement, which will involve the review and processing of a substantial amount of documentation  
6 as part of that process. Thus, counsel has maintained a very full schedule in the period of time  
7 covered by the first extension request.

8 Counsel is asking for an additional 17 days, to March 17, 2025, because he will be out of the  
9 office the week of March 3, 2025. The following week, the week of March 10, 2025, counsel has  
10 two settlement conferences and another settlement conference statement due.

11 The length of time for the extension is further necessitated by the fact that counsel for Ms.  
12 Chi is scheduled to be on vacation, out of the country, from January 28, 2025, to February 11, 2025,  
13 for a trip that has been postponed once and cannot be postponed or cancelled without incurring a  
14 substantial expense.

15 Therefore, for the reasons set forth above, Plaintiff Terry Chi asks for an extension of time  
16 to file her points and authorities in opposition to the two pending motions to dismiss in this matter  
17 before this Court.

18 Moreover, the parties further stipulate that defendants shall have an additional two weeks to  
19 file their respective reply briefs. This is necessitated by the complexity of this matter, specifically  
20 in terms of the number of claims at issue, and due to the work schedules of the counsels of record  
21 for the defendants. Under LR 7-2(b), reply briefs ordinarily would be seven days after the filing of  
22 an opposition brief. In light of the aforementioned extension for Plaintiff Terry Chi to file her points  
23 and authorities in opposition to the two pending motions to dismiss, any reply brief in support of  
24 either motion would be on March 24, 2025, by operation of LR 7-2(b).

25 . . . .

26 . . . .

27 . . . .

28 . . . .

1 The parties agree that the defendants have until April 7, 2025, to file their respective reply  
2 briefs in support of their motions to dismiss.

3  
4 DATED: February 27, 2025.

DATED: February 27, 2025.

5 LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT P. SPRETNAK

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.

6 By: /s/ Robert P. Spretnak  
Robert P. Spretnak, Esq. (Bar No. 5135)

By: /s/ Diana G. Dickinson  
Ethan D. Thomas, Esq. (Bar No. 12874)  
Diana G. Dickinson, Esq. (Bar No. 13477)  
Luke W. Molleck, Esq. (Bar No. 14405)

7 8275 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200  
8 Las Vegas, Nevada 89123

3960 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 300  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169-5937

9 Attorney for Plaintiff Terry Chi

Attorneys for Defendants Clark County School  
District, Mary "Mare" Mazur, Cedric Cole,  
Jesus Jara, and Brenda Larsen-Mitchell

12 DATED: February 27, 2025.

13 By: /s/ Paul E. Larsen  
Rusty Graf, Esq. (Bar No. 6322)  
Paul E. Larsen, Esq. (Bar No. 3756)

16 10777 W. Twain Avenue, Suite 300  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

17 Attorneys for Defendant Southern Nevada  
Public Television

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21  
22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

23 DATED: March 3, 2025  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28