

1 **DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC**  
 2 STEVEN A. CALOJARO  
 Nevada Bar No. 12344  
 100 W. Liberty Street, Suite 940  
 3 Reno, Nevada 89501  
 Tel.: (775) 343-7500  
 4 Fax: (844) 670-6009  
[scaloiaro@dickinsonwright.com](mailto:scaloiaro@dickinsonwright.com)

5 KEVIN D. EVERAGE  
 Nevada Bar No. 15913  
 3883 Howard Hughes Pkwy, Suite 800  
 7 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169  
 Tel.: (702) 550-4426  
 8 Fax: (844) 670-6009  
[keverage@dickinsonwright.com](mailto:keverage@dickinsonwright.com)

9 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
 10 *SATA GmbH & Co. KG*

11 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 12 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

13	SATA GmbH & Co. KG, a German Corporation,	)	
14		)	Case No. 2:24-cv-02069-CDS-DJA
15	<i>Plaintiff,</i>	)	<b>AMENDED STIPULATED DISCOVERY</b>
16	v.	)	<b>PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER</b>
17	Taizhou Tool-Bar Machinery Co., Ltd., a Chinese company,	)	<b>SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW</b>
18		)	<b>REQUESTED</b>
19	<i>Defendant.</i>	)	

20 Under Fed. R. of Civ. P. 26(f), Local Rule 26-1, *et seq.*, and in view of the Court’s minute  
 21 order issued on February 24, 2025 (ECF No. 20), Plaintiff SATA GmbH & Co. KG (“SATA”) and  
 22 Defendant Taizhou Tool-Bar Machinery Co., Ltd. (“Tool-Bar”) (together, the “Parties”), by and  
 23 through their respective attorneys of record, hereby submit this Amended Stipulated Discovery  
 24 Plan and Scheduling Order.

25 **Date of Conference and Appearances of Counsel:**

26 The Parties held a Rule 26(f) Conference via videoconference on February 18, 2025 (the  
 27 “Conference”). In attendance on behalf of SATA was Kevin D. Everage of Dickinson Wright



1 PLLC. In attendance on behalf of Tool-Bar were Amber N. Davis and Jefferson C. Deery of  
 2 Wolter Van Dyke Davis, PLLC. A further conference was held on March 5, 2025, to address the  
 3 Court’s minute order (ECF No. 20). In attendance on behalf of SATA was Kevin D. Everage of  
 4 Dickinson Wright PLLC. In attendance on behalf of Tool-Bar was Amber N. Davis of Wolter Van  
 5 Dyke Davis, PLLC.

6 **Initial Disclosures:**

7 The Parties will serve Initial Disclosures under Rule 26(a) on or before March 10, 2025.

8 **Discovery Plan:**

9 The Parties agree that discovery may be conducted on all matters relevant to the issues  
 10 raised by the pleadings and all matters otherwise within the scope of Rule 26(b)(1) and not  
 11 protected from disclosure. Pursuant to LR 26-1(b)(1), the Parties state that the first defendant  
 12 answered or otherwise appeared on December 19, 2024 upon the filing of Tool-Bar’s Motion to  
 13 Dismiss (ECF No. 9). The proposed schedule is as follows:

Event	Time Frame	Date
Deadline to Serve Initial Disclosures (FRCP 26(a)(1))	14 days after Minute Order (ECF 20)	March 10, 2025
Amended Joint Stipulated Discovery Plan & Scheduling Order (LR 26-1(a))	Per Court Order (ECF No. 20)	March 7, 2025
Deadline to Amend Pleadings/Parties (LR 26-1(b)(2))	90 days before Discovery Cut-Off Date	May 19, 2025
Discovery Cut-Off Date (LR 26-1(b)(1))	240 days after 1 <sup>st</sup> Defendant’s appearance	August 16, 2025
Expert Disclosures (FRCP 26(a)(2) and LR 26(1)(b)(3))	60 days before Discovery Cut-Off Date	June 17, 2025
Rebuttal Expert Disclosures (FRCP 26(a)(2) and LR 26(1)(b)(3))	30 days after Expert Disclosures	July 17, 2025
Dispositive Motion Deadline (LR 26-1(b)(4))	30 days after Discovery Cut-Off Date	September 15, 2026

Event	Time Frame	Date
Joint Pretrial Order and Pretrial Disclosures & Objections (FRCP 26(a)(3) and (LR 26(1)(b)(5) & (6))	Within 30 days after Dispositive Motion Deadline OR 30 days after Order on Dispositive Motions (if filed)	October 15, 2025 OR 30 days after Order on Dispositive Motions (if filed)
Trial		TBD

**Special Scheduling Review:**

Pursuant to Local Rule 26-1(b)(1), the defendant appeared and responded to the complaint on December 19, 2024. However, the Parties agree that a discovery period longer than one hundred eighty (180) days from the date of the response is required in this matter because the Parties must retain and depose experts on highly-technical issues and analysis relevant to the Parties’ claims and defenses in a trademark/trade dress infringement suit, particularly as it relates to analysis of the trademark functionality issue the Parties are already disputing in their briefs on Tool-Bar’s motion to dismiss. *See*, ECF Nos. 9, 16, and 17.

**Alternative Dispute Resolution**

Pursuant to Local Rule 26-1(b)(7), the Parties certify that they met and conferred about the possibility of using alternative dispute-resolution processes. The parties expressed mutual interest in mediation at a later time.

**Alternative Forms of Case Disposition**

Pursuant to Local Rule 26-1(b)(8), the Parties certify that they met and conferred to consider consent to trial by a magistrate judge under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73 and the use of the Short Trial Program (General Order 2013-01).The parties do not consent to a trial by a magistrate judge.

**Electronic Evidence**

Jury trial has been demanded. Pursuant to Local Rule 26-1(b)(9), the Parties certify that they discussed whether they intend to present evidence in electronic format to jurors for the purposes of jury deliberations. The Parties mutually intend to present evidence to jurors for the purposes of jury deliberations, and will do so in compliance with the electronic format and other

1 requirements for the court's electronic jury evidence display system.

2 **Other Planning or Discovery Orders:**

- 3 a. **Protective Order and ESI Order:** The Parties plan to file a proposed
- 4 protective order and a proposed order governing the discovery of
- 5 electrically stored information (ESI) for entry by the Court.
- 6 b. **Consent to electronic service:** Both Parties consent to electronic service
- 7 in this case pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(E).

9 DATED: March 5, 2025

DATED: March 5, 2025

10 DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC

LEX TECNICA LTD.

11 /s/ Kevin D. Everage  
 12 STEVEN A. CALOJARO  
 Nevada Bar No. 12344  
 100 W. Liberty Street, Suite 940  
 13 Reno, Nevada 89501  
 Tel.: (775) 343-7500  
 14 Fax: (844) 670-6009  
[scaloiaro@dickinsonwright.com](mailto:scaloiaro@dickinsonwright.com)

/s/ Amber N. Davis  
 F. CHRISTOPHER AUSTIN, ESQ.  
 Nevada Bar No. 6559  
 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  
 Tel.: (725) 239-8413  
[chris@lextecnica.com](mailto:chris@lextecnica.com)

15 KEVIN D. EVERAGE  
 Nevada Bar No. 15913  
 16 3883 Howard Hughes Pkwy, Suite 800  
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169  
 17 Tel.: (702) 550-4426  
 18 Fax: (844) 670-6009  
[keverage@dickinsonwright.com](mailto:keverage@dickinsonwright.com)

WOLTER VAN DYKE DAVIS, PLLC  
 AMBER N. DAVIS (*pro hac vice*)  
 adavis@savvyiplaw.com  
 Jefferson C. Deery (*pro hac vice*)  
 jdeery@savvyiplaw.com  
 1900 Summit Tower Blvd. STE 140  
 Orlando, Florida 32810  
 Tel.: (407) 926-7729

20 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
21 *SATA GmbH & Co. KG*

*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Taizhou Tool-Bar Machinery Co., Ltd.*

22 **ORDER**

23 IT IS SO ORDERED:

24 

25 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

26 DATED: 3/6/2025