

1
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

4 Selva Kumar,

5 Plaintiff

6 v.

7 Tata Consultancy Services Limited,

8 Defendant

Case No.: 2:24-cv-02256-CDS-EJY

**Order Denying as Moot Defendant's
Motion to Dismiss or, in the alternative,
Motion to Compel Arbitration**

[ECF Nos. 9, 11]

9
10 Plaintiff Selva Kumar filed a first amended complaint on February 5, 2025. ECF No. 13.
11 Because an amended complaint supersedes the original complaint in its entirety, defendant Tata
12 Consultancy Services Limited's motion to dismiss or, in the alternative, motion to compel
13 arbitration [ECF No. 9] targeting the original pleading is deemed moot. *Ramirez v. Cnty. of San*
14 *Bernadino*, 806 F.3d 1002, 1009 (9th Cir. 2015). Further, because Tata has filed a motion to compel
15 arbitration (ECF No. 18), its motion to dismiss or, in the alternative, motion to compel
16 arbitration [ECF No. 11] is also denied as moot.

17 Dated: March 5, 2025

18 
19 Cristina D. Silva
20 United States District Judge
21
22
23
24
25
26