

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

RUBEN MAYA,  
Plaintiff(s),

v.

CARMAX AUTO SUPERSTORES, INC.,  
Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:24-cv-02388-GMN-NJK

**Order**

To date, the parties have not filed a stipulated discovery plan as required by Local Rule 26-1(a). Although a motion to compel arbitration was filed, Docket No. 6, Defendant has not to date filed a separate motion to stay discovery pending resolution of that motion, *see Arik v. Meyers*, 2020 WL 515843, at \*1-2 (D. Nev. Jan. 31, 2020) (identifying governing standards).<sup>1</sup> If Defendant seeks a stay of discovery, a separate request with robust discussion of the governing standards must be filed by March 10, 2025. If such a motion is not filed, the parties must file a joint proposed discovery plan by March 17, 2025.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 3, 2025

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nancy J. Koppe  
United States Magistrate Judge

27  
28  
<sup>1</sup> Some portions of the underlying motion practice may touch on these issues, *see* Docket No. 6 at 9-10, but a separate motion specific to this request for relief has not been filed, *cf.* Local Rule IC 2-2(b).