

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

NEVEEN LYNNE LEYVA,
Plaintiff,
v.
JOE BIDEN, *et al.*,
Defendants.

Case No. 2:25-cv-00272-JAD-NJK

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION AND
DISMISSING CASE**

ECF Nos. 1, 3

On 2/24/25, the magistrate judge entered this report and recommendation [ECF No. 3]:

District courts have the authority to dismiss cases *sua sponte* without notice when the plaintiff “cannot possibly win relief.” *Sparling v. Hoffman Constr. Co.*, 864 F.2d 635, 638 (9th Cir. 1988). A complaint should be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted “if it appears beyond a doubt that the plaintiff can prove no set of facts in support of his claims that would entitle him to relief.” *Buckey v. Los Angeles*, 968 F.2d 791, 794 (9th Cir. 1992). A complaint may be dismissed as frivolous if it is premised on a nonexistent legal interest or delusional factual scenario. *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 327-28 (1989). Moreover, “a finding of factual frivolousness is appropriate when the facts alleged rise to the level of the irrational or the wholly incredible, whether or not there are judicially noticeable facts available to contradict them.” *Denton v. Hernandez*, 504 U.S. 25, 33 (1992). When a court dismisses a complaint, the plaintiff should be given leave to amend with directions as to curing its deficiencies, unless it is clear from the face of the complaint that the deficiencies could not be cured by amendment. *See Cato v. United States*, 70 F.3d 1103, 1106 (9th Cir. 1995). “When a case may be classified as frivolous or malicious, there is, by definition, no merit to the underlying action and so no reason to grant leave to amend.” *Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122, 1127 n.8 (9th Cir. 2000) (en banc).

Plaintiff alleges that she was killed for having green eyes, that her legs were broken by Steve Jobs' family in the White House, that a big head was added to her by Kim Kardashian and Angelina Jolie, and that she has been controlled and hacked by the FBI since 2019. Docket No. 1-1 at 3. Plaintiff also raises numerous other allegations concerning Joe Biden, Barack Obama, Selena Gomez, Justin Bieber, and Taylor Swift. *Id.* Based on these allegations, Plaintiff seeks \$1,000,000,000, "to stop being compelled to speak," and for her "movement to stop being controlled." *Id.* at 4. In light of the delusional factual scenario and nonexistent legal interest at issue, Plaintiff's complaint is appropriately dismissed.


Accordingly, the undersigned **RECOMMENDS** that this case be **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

Dated: February 24, 2025


 Nancy J. Koppe
 United States Magistrate Judge

Order Adopting R&R

The deadline for the plaintiff to object to this recommendation was 3/10/25, and the plaintiff neither filed anything or asked to extend the deadline to do so. "[N]o review is required of a magistrate judge's report and recommendation unless objections are filed." *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003). Having reviewed the report and recommendation, I find good cause to adopt it, and I do. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 3] is **ADOPTED** in its entirety, this case is **DISMISSED**, the application to proceed *in forma pauperis* [ECF No. 1] is **DENIED** as moot, and the Clerk of Court is directed to **CLOSE THIS CASE**.


 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey
 Dated: March 12, 2025