

1

2

3

4

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

5

6

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-WGC

7

Plaintiff,

8

WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,

9

Plaintiff-Intervenor,

10

11

v.

12

WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT,
a corporation, et al.,

13

14

Defendants.

15

16

17

18

19

20

This Court has reviewed the actions and orders taken on November 28, 2018, concerning Orders ECF Nos. 2408, 2409, 2410, and 2411. Based on further review of Order ECF No. 1711 and Amended Order ECF No. 1650 and the recommendations made by the United States and the Principal Parties, the Court amends Orders ECF Nos. 2408, 2409, 2410, and 2411 as stated in the paragraphs below.

21

22

23

24

25

1. In ECF No. 2342, Ms. White on behalf of the Kirk White Family Trust appears to request that the Trust be removed from this case and that the current owner of property in the Walker River Basin be substituted in the Trust's stead. In ECF No. 2411, the Court ordered that the Trust be removed from the docket and requested that the Trust provide the address of the current owner of the subject property.
2. In ECF No. 2344, Jesse Nish appears to request that he be removed from this case and that the current owner of property in the Walker River Basin be substituted in his stead. In ECF No. 2409, the Court ordered that Mr. Nish be removed from the

26

27

28

1 docket and requested that Mr. Nish provide the address of the current owner of the
2 subject property.

- 3 3. In ECF No. 2376, Larry and Richelene Simmons appear on behalf of the Simmons
4 Family 2007 Trust to request that the Trust be removed from this case and that the
5 current owner of property in the Walker River Basin be substituted in the Trust's
6 stead. In ECF No. 2410, the Court ordered that the Trust be removed from the docket
7 and requested that the Trust provide the current address of owner of the subject
8 property.
- 9 4. In ECF No. 2381, Marsha Ann Thieme requested that her deceased husband (Mr.
10 Thieme) be removed from correspondence. In ECF No. 2408, the Court ordered that
11 Ms. Thieme's husband (deceased) be removed from the docket.
- 12 5. Based on review of Order ECF No 1711, the Court must modify Orders ECF Nos.
13 2409, 2410, and 2411 as follows. No party will be removed from this case and no
14 party will be substituted for another unless and until the requesting party has complied
15 with Rule 25 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Namely for the individuals and
16 trusts identified in paragraphs 1 – 3 above, each party must 1) inform the Court that
17 they no longer own property that is the subject of this action; 2) inform the Court of
18 the name / mailing address of the current property owner; and 3) inform the Court that
19 notice has been properly served on the current property owner, by namely at
20 minimum, that such the current property owner has been mailed a copy of the request
21 that they be substituted. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(C). The Court has previously
22 prepared a draft motion that parties may utilize for this purpose. *See* Amended Order
23 (ECF No. 1650) Attachment A.
- 24 6. With respect to Order ECF No. 2408, that order is modified to reflect that Mr. Thieme
25 is not removed from this case unless either Mrs. Thieme informs the Court that she is
26 the successor to Mr. Thieme or a motion is submitted pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(a)
27 requesting his removal and the substitution of his successor/representative. The Court,
28 however, will remove Mr. Thieme from the email list as requested by Mrs. Thieme.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

7. Further, the Court informs all unrepresented parties that persons not licensed / permitted to appear before this court as lawyers are not permitted to appear on behalf of artificial entities such as trusts, corporations, partnerships, or associations. *See Rowland v. California Men's Colony, Unit II Men's Advisory Council*, 506 U.S. 194, 202, 113 S. Ct. 716, 121 L. Ed. 2d 656 (1993).
8. Finally, a party's request to be removed from the Court's mailing or email list does not equate to a request to be dismissed from this case. Upon any request to be removed from the Court's mailing or email list, the Court will administratively take such action without further docket entry.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 4, 2019.

William G. Cobb
United States Magistrate Judge