

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

MARK A. HANSON,  
  
v.  
  
CRAIG FARWELL, *et al.*,  
  
Respondents.

Case No. 3:04-cv-00130-MMD-VPC

ORDER

In this habeas corpus action, brought by Nevada prisoner Mark A. Hanson, after one 45-day extension of time, the respondents were to file an answer by July 15, 2016. See Order entered April 1, 2016 (ECF No. 107); Order entered May 27, 2016 (ECF No. 110).

On July 14, 2016, respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 111), requesting that the due date for their answer be extended to September 13, 2016 — a further 60-day extension of time. Respondents’ counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of her obligations in other cases, as well as other personal and professional obligations. The petitioner does not object. The Court finds that the motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of time. The Court will grant this second extension of time.

The Court will not look favorably upon any motion to further extend this deadline.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

It is therefore ordered that respondents' Motion for Enlargement of Time (ECF No. 111) is granted. Respondents will have until and including September 13, 2016, to file their answer.

It is further ordered that, in all other respects, the schedule for further proceedings set forth in the order entered January 12, 2016 (ECF No. 100) will remain in effect.

DATED THIS 5<sup>th</sup> day of August 2016.



---

MIRANDA M. DU  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE