1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

27

26

28

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ANTHONY J. BURRIOLA,

Plaintiff,

V

NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,

Defendant.

3:04-CV-346 JCM (RAM)

ORDER

Presently before the court is a referral notice from the Ninth Circuit. (Doc. # 224).

This case was filed by prisoner plaintiff Anthony J. Burriola, appearing pro se, in 2004. Plaintiff's complaint was filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 alleging wrongdoing by Nevada Correctional facility staff violating plaintiff's civil rights. This included being denied shoulder surgery and treatment for the related pain, amongst other wrongdoing.

In September 2009, Cal J. Potter, III, appeared as attorney of record for plaintiff. (Doc. # 169). On July 7, 2011, the parties submitted a stipulation and order for dismissal with prejudice. (Doc. # 208). On July 8, 2011, the court entered an order for dismissal with prejudice. (Doc. # 209). As part of the basis of the settlement between the parties, plaintiff was to receive surgery on his shoulder. (Doc. # 212, \P 14). However, it appears that plaintiff has since refused medical treatment. (Id., \P 25, Ex. 18).

On April 25, 2013, plaintiff filed a motion to reopen the case because plaintiff argues that dismissal was based on fraud. (Doc. #216). The court denied the motion as untimely and for failing

to satisfy the standard to warrant this court's reconsideration of its final order dismissing this case with prejudice. (Doc. # 220). The instant appeal followed. (Doc. # 221). The court finds that the appeal of this court's order is not taken in good faith and that the appeal is frivolous. This court's order denying plaintiff's request for reconsideration of its final order (doc. # 209) was squarely within the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 59 and 60. (See doc. # 220). Plaintiff failed to satisfy his burden to warrant this court's reconsideration of its final order.¹ Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that, in response to the Ninth Circuit's referral notice (doc. # 224), the court certifies that the appeal is frivolous and not taken in good faith. DATED July 23, 2013.

¹ The appellate court, however, may grant in forma pauperis status on appeal. *See O'Neal v. Price*, 531 F.3d 1146, 1149 (9th Cir. 2008) ("[S]ubsections (a)(4) and (5) of Rule 24 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure give litigants a procedural route for challenging the trial court's certification.").