I

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
8	$(\mathbf{U} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} n$
9	SHAWN VAN ASDALE, an individual,) 3:04-CV-0703-RAM and LENA VAN ASDALE, an individual,) 3:04-CV-0703-RAM
10	Plaintiffs, <u>ORDER</u>
11	vs.
12	INTERNATIONAL GAME) TECHNOLOGY, a Nevada corporation,)
13	Defendant.
14)
15	Plaintiffs have made a Motion for Reconsideration Re Sealing; and Request for
16	Clarification and Status Conference (Doc. #262). Defendant International Game Technology
17	has opposed the Motion (Doc. #264) and Plaintiffs have replied (Doc. #265).
18	The court does not believe that it committed clear error in the Order and there has been
19	no newly discovered evidence nor any intervening change in the controlling law.
20	As an aside, and for clarification purposes, the fact that certain documents have been
21	sealed does not effect their admissibility. A status conference will be held prior to trial for the
22	court and counsel to agree on a procedure on admitting sealed documents into evidence at trial.
23	Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration (Doc. #262) is <u>DENIED</u> .
24	DATED: June 14, 2010.
25	1806 Wil Drow
26	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27	
28	