UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * * * *

JOHN MICHAEL ALLINGER,

Plaintiff,

vs.

E. K. McDANIEL, et al.,

Defendants.

3:06-cv-00139-LRH-VPC

ORDER

Before the court is Plaintiff's Objections to Proposed Findings of Fact and Recommendations (#103[1]), which the court will treat as a motion to reconsider Magistrate's Order (#100). Defendants responded on January 22, 2009 (#104).

The Court has conducted its review in this case, has fully considered the Plaintiff's motion, and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1), and concludes that the Magistrate Judge's ruling was neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law.

The Magistrate Judge's Order (#100) will, therefore, be sustained and Plaintiff's motion (#103) is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 4th day of March, 2009.

LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

[1]Refers to this court's docket number.