

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ANTHONY THOMAS CHERNETSKY, )
Plaintiff, )
vs. )
THE STATE OF NEVADA, et al., )
Defendants. )

3:06-cv-00252-RCJ-WGC
MINUTES OF THE COURT
June 12, 2012

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: KATIE OGDEN REPORTER: NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

Before the court is plaintiff's Motion to Set Trial Date (Doc. #64). Plaintiff's motion is premature as the defendants have not filed an answer to the complaint nor has the deadline for filing a joint pre-trial order and proposed trial dates passed. L.R. 16-3; 16-4. Plaintiff's motion (Doc. #64) is therefore DENIED.

Plaintiff's motion, however, brings to the Court's attention that the defendants have not filed an answer to those claims which survive. The defendants shall file an answer to plaintiff's complaint on or before June 26, 2012.

Also before the Court is plaintiff's Notice of Intent to Proceed with Mediation (Doc. #58). If the defendants wish to participate in a mediation, defendants shall file a notice of intent re same on or before June 26, 2012. If defendants elect to proceed with mediation, the Court will delay entering a scheduling order until after the mediation has been conducted. If, however, the defendants decline to proceed with mediation, the Court will enter a Scheduling Order upon the filing of defendants' answer.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By: /s/ Deputy Clerk