William England v.	State Of Nevada et al	Doc. 189
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	WILLIAM ENGLAND,	3:06-cv-0576-RAM
9	Plaintiff,	<u>ORDER</u>
10	vs.	
	STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,	
11	Defendants.	
12	Defendants have filed an Unanymerated 19(h) Matien to Digmiss (Matien in Limina	
13	Defendants have filed an Unenumerated 12(b) Motion to Dismiss/Motion in Limine	
14	(Doc. #188) alleging that Plaintiff has failed to exhaust his administrative remedies concerning	
15	the claim remaining to be tried in this case.	
16	Defendants should have known about this claim to failure to exhaust from the inception	
17	on this case and certainly knew about it on November 19, 2010, when counsel for Defendants	
18	filed a Notice with this court stating that "Defendants recently discovered" that Plaintiff had	
19	not exhausted administrative remedies regarding the sole remaining claim (Doc. #180). The	
20	Defendants waited nearly ninety (90) days to file this Motion on the eve of trial.	
21	Such dilatory conduct will not be sanctioned by the court and Defendants'	
22	Unenumerated 12(b) Motion to Dismiss/Motion in Limine (Doc. #188) is DENIED as	
23	untimely.	
24	DATED: February 8, 2011.	
25		SHAW COLL
26	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
27	UNITE	D STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		