

1 ADAM PAUL LAXALT
 Attorney General
 2 CLARK G. LESLIE, Bar No. 10124
 Chief Deputy Attorney General
 3 ERIN L. ALBRIGHT, Bar No. 9953
 Deputy Attorney General
 4 State of Nevada
 Bureau of Litigation
 5 Public Safety Division
 100 N. Carson St.
 6 Carson City, NV 89701-4717
 Tel: 775-684-1257
 7 E-Mail: calbright@ag.nv.gov

8 *Attorneys for Defendants State of Nevada ex rel,*
Nevada Department of Corrections, Glen Whorton,
 9 *Howard Skolnik, Ted D'Amico, M.D., Robert Bannister, M.D.,*
 10 *Adam Endel, Fritz Schlottman and William Donat*

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 12 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

14 RICKEY EGBERTO,
 15 Plaintiff,

16 vs.

17 NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF
 18 CORRECTIONS, a Political Subdivision of the
 19 STATE OF NEVADA; and DOES I through X,
 inclusive,
 20 Defendants.

Case No.: 3:06-cv-00715-RCJ-WGC


STIPULATION AND ORDER OF
 DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

21 Defendants State of Nevada ex rel, Nevada Department of Corrections, Glen Whorton, Howard
 22 Skolnik, Ted D'Amico, M.D., Robert Bannister, M.D., Adam Endel, Fritz Schlottman and William
 23 Donat by and through counsel, Adam Paul Laxalt, Nevada Attorney General, and Erin L. Albright,
 24 Deputy Attorney General, and Plaintiff, Rickey Egberto, by and through counsel, Travis Barrick, Esq.,
 25 hereby stipulate to the dismissal¹ of this action with prejudice, with each party to bear their own
 26 attorney's fees and costs.
 27

28 ¹ The stipulation to dismiss this action with prejudice is predicated upon the Settlement Agreement entered into between the parties in conjunction with this stipulation.


1
2 DATED this 25th day of Sept., 2017.

3 PLAINTIFF, RICKEY EGBERTO

4
5 By: 
6 Travis Barrick, Esq.
7 Representing, Plaintiff, Rickey Egberto

DATED this 26th day of Sept., 2017.

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

By: 
Erin L. Albright, SBN 9953
100 N. Carson Street
Carson City, NV 89701
(775) 684-1257
éalbright@ag.nv.gov
Attorneys for Defendants

10 **ORDER**

11 This matter comes before the Court on the parties' Stipulation for Dismissal with Prejudice.
12 The Court has examined the Stipulation for Dismissal with Prejudice as agreed by the parties
13 hereinabove, good cause having been shown,

14 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this cause of action is dismissed with prejudice with each
15 party bearing its own attorneys' fees and costs.

16 DATED this 26th day of September, 2017.

17
18 
19 _____
20 DISTRICT JUDGE