

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

HUSSEIN S. HUSSEIN)

Plaintiff,)

v.)

ADELE ERSEK; et al.,)

Defendants.)

3:07-cv-00056-LRH-VPC

ORDER

Before the court is plaintiff Hussein S. Hussein’s (“Hussein”) motion for a certificate of appealability pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b). Doc. #71¹.

Under Rule 54(b), “when an action presents more than one claim for relief . . . the court may direct entry of a final judgment as to one or more, but fewer than all, claims or parties only if the court expressly determines that there is no just reason for delay.” Discretion is left to the district court to determine the appropriate time when a case is ready for appeal. *Curtis-Wright Corp. v. General Electric Co.*, 446 U.S. 1, 8 (1980). The court’s discretion is to be exercised in the interest of sound judicial administration. *Id.*

Here, the court finds that it would not be prudent to allow a piecemeal appeal of the various claims in this matter because there are remaining claims against some of the defendants which have

¹ Refers to the court’s docket number.

1 not yet been addressed on the merits. Furthermore, the court recognizes the historic federal policy
2 against piecemeal appeals. *See Curtis-Wright*, 446 U.S. at 8.

3 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for a certificate of appealability
4 pursuant to Rule 54(b) (Doc. #71) is DENIED.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 DATED this 26th day of October, 2009.



7
8
9 LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26