Hussein v. ERSEK et al

Doc. 199

1	authority to issue the subpoena without the court's endorsement. See Doc. #170;
2	FED. R. CIV. P. 45(a)(3). This order was not in error. Hussein, as a pro se plaintiff must request
3	approval from the court to issue subpoenas, particularly against individuals or corporations like the
4	Department of Veteran's Affairs who are neither a party to, nor involved in, the present matter.
5	Accordingly, the court finds that Hussein has failed to show that the magistrate's order is either
6	contrary to law or clearly erroneous and therefore, the court shall affirm the magistrate judge's
7	order.
8	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff's objection to the magistrate judge's order
9	(Doc. #178) is DENIED.
10	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the magistrate judge's order denying plaintiff's motion
11	for sanctions (Doc. #170) is AFFIRMED.
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.
13	DATED this 7th day of April, 2010.
14	Olden
15	LARRY R. HICKS
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	