Hussein v. ERSEK et al

Doc. 204

judge taken the party's arguments into account then the party's motion would not have been denied was sufficient to satisfy the clearly erroneous standard, then every litigant dissatisfied with a ruling could meet its burden on the simple fact that a motion was denied. There is no legal or logical support for such a position.

Additionally, the court finds that the magistrate took Hussein's points and authorities into account before making a decision on the motion. The magistrate held a hearing on the pending motion in order to address all the parties' concerns and to fully evaluate the parties' arguments and factual support. Further, as evidenced by the papers and pleadings on filed in this matter, the magistrate was very familiar with both parties' filings and addressed them appropriately.

Accordingly, the court shall affirm the magistrate judge's order.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff's objection to the magistrate judge's order (Doc. #98) is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the magistrate judge's order addressing various discovery disputes (Doc. #96) is AFFIRMED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 23rd day of April, 2010.

LARRY R. HICKS

Fldihe

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE