Corporation, hereby answers the Complaint of Plaintiff, DA-DAZE-NOM MANZANARES, as follows:

Parties (Jurisdiction and Venue)

- 1. Answering Paragraph 1 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
- 2. Answering Paragraph 2 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
- 3. Answering Paragraph 3 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
 - 4. Jones admits the allegations in Paragraph 4 of Complaint.
- 5. Answering Paragraph 5 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
- 6. Answering Paragraph 6 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
- 7. Answering Paragraph 7 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
 - 8. Jones admits the allegations in Paragraph 8 of Complaint.

- 17. Answering Paragraph 17 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
- 18. Answering Paragraph 18 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
 - 19. Jones admits the allegations in Paragraph 19 of Complaint.

FRIST CAUSE OF ACTION Violation of Civil Rights

- 20. Jones realleges and incorporates by reference his answers to Paragraphs 1 through 19 of the Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
 - 21. Jones denies the allegations in Paragraph 21 of Complaint.
- 22. Answering Paragraph 22 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
- 23. Answering Paragraph 23 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
- 24. Answering Paragraph 24 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
- 25. Answering Paragraph 25 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.

- 26. Answering Paragraph 26 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
- 27. Answering Paragraph 27 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
- 28. Answering Paragraph 28 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
 - 29. Jones denies the allegations in Paragraph 29 of Complaint.
 - 30. Jones denies the allegations in Paragraph 30 of Complaint.
- 31. Answering Paragraph 31 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
 - 32. Jones denies the allegations in Paragraph 32 of Complaint.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION Attorney Fees

- 33. Jones realleges and incorporates by reference his answers to Paragraphs 1 through 32 of the Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
 - 34. Jones denies the allegations in Paragraph 34 of Complaint.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION Negligent Supervision and Retention Against Defendant, Elko County School District

- Negugent Supervision and Retention Agams: Detendand Enco County Sensor Bistries
- 35. Jones realleges and incorporates by reference his answers to Paragraphs 1 through 34 of the Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

27 /// 28 ///

25

- 36. Answering Paragraph 36 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
- 37. Answering Paragraph 37 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
- 38. Answering Paragraph 38 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
 - 39. Jones denies the allegations in Paragraph 39 of Complaint.
- 40. Answering Paragraph 40 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
- 41. Answering Paragraph 41 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
 - 42. Jones denies the allegations in Paragraph 42 of Complaint.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION Sexual Abuse of a Child/Respondent Superior

- 43. Jones realleges and incorporates by reference his answers to Paragraphs 1 through 42 of the Complaint as though fully set forth herein
- 44. Answering Paragraph 44 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
 - 45. Jones denies the allegations in Paragraph 45 of Complaint.

- 57. Answering Paragraph 57 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
 - 58. Jones denies the allegations in Paragraph 58 of Complaint.

28 | ///

24

25

26

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION Failure to Report Suspected Child Sex Abuse By ECDS and the LDS Church

- 59. Jones realleges and incorporates by reference his answers to Paragraphs 1 through 58 of the Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 60. Answering Paragraph 60 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
- 61. Answering Paragraph 61 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
- 62. Answering Paragraph 62 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
 - 63. Jones denies the allegations in Paragraph 63 of Complaint.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION Negligent Training and Supervision

- 64. Jones realleges and incorporates by reference his answers to Paragraphs 1 through 63 of the Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 65. Answering Paragraph 65 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
- 66. Answering Paragraph 66 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.

4

5

б 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

68. Jones denies the allegations in Paragraph 68 of Complaint.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress

- 69. Jones realleges and incorporates by reference his answers to Paragraphs 1 through 68 of the Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
 - 70. Jones denies the allegations in Paragraph 70 of Complaint.
- 71. Answering Paragraph 71 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
- 72. Answering Paragraph 72 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
 - 73. Jones denies the allegations in Paragraph 73 of Complaint.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION **Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress**

- 74. Jones realleges and incorporates by reference his answers to Paragraphs 1 through 73 of the Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 75. Answering Paragraph 75 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.
- 76. Answering Paragraph 76 of Complaint, Jones is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein, and for that reason, denies them.

Document 17

Filed 05/07/2007

Page 10 of 14

Case 3:07-cv-00076-LRH-RAM

1	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2	Any damages complained of by Plaintiff, if any exist, were the result of an unforeseeable
3	intervening and superseding act over which Jones had no control.
4	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
5	Plaintiff's claims against Jones are barred by the doctrine of unclean hands.
6 7	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
8	Plaintiff's claims against Jones are barred by the doctrines of waiver and/or estoppel.
9	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
10	Plaintiff's claims against Jones are barred by the doctrine of laches.
11	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
12	
13	Plaintiff's claims against Jones are barred by the doctrine of immunity.
14	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
15	Plaintiff's claims against Jones are barred by the doctrine of qualified immunity.
16	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
17	Plaintiff's claims against Jones are barred by the doctrine of discretionary immunity.
18	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
19	This Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims against Jones.
20	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2122	Plaintiff's claims against Jones are barred by the consent of the Plaintiff.
23	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
24	Plaintiff has failed to mitigate her damages, if any.
25	FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
26	
27	The Plaintiff has failed to satisfy conditions precedent to bringing any action against
28	Jones.

Case 3:07-cv-00076-LRH-RAM Document 17 Filed 05/07/2007 Page 11 of 14

. Ca	se 3:07-cv-00076-LRH-RAM Document 17 Filed 05/07/2007 Page 12 of 14
1	FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2	The claims alleged by Plaintiff are barred by the applicable Nevada statutes of limitation.
3	SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
4	Jones is informed, believe(s), and thereon alleges(s) that Plaintiff failed to join a party
5	necessary for just adjudication of the claim at issue in this action
6	
7	SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
8	Plaintiff's cause of action is barred by her own conduct or negligence, which negligence
9	was greater than Jones', if any, and was a proximate cause of Plaintiff's damages, if any.
10	EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
11	Plaintiff's claims against Jones are barred by the absence of state action.
12	NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
13	The acts which are the subject matter of Plaintiff's Complaint, if any, do not constitute
14	
15	state action, and consequently, Plaintiff is barred from recovering against Jones.
16	TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
17	The acts which are the subject matter of Plaintiff's Complaint, if any, were not performed
18	under the color of law, and consequently, Plaintiff is barred from recovering against Jones.
19	TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
20	Plaintiff has failed to allege facts which would make Jones liable to Plaintiff for any of the
21	acts alleged herein.
22	TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
23	
24	Plaintiff's claims against Jones are barred by the sovereign immunity of indigenous
25	peoples as declared by the law of the United States.
26	///
27	///
28	

TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The acts which are the subject matter of Plaintiff's Complaint, if any, occurred on a federally recognized Indian reservation and are governed by the laws, regulations, and customs of the indigenous persons residing therein.

TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Pursuant to Rule 11 of FRCP as amended, all possible affirmative defenses may not have been alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts are not available after reasonable inquiry from the filing of the Complaint. In the event further investigation or discovery in this case reveals the applicability of any additional affirmative defenses, including but not limited to those affirmative defenses enumerated to FRCP 8(c), Defendant reserves the right to specifically assert any such defenses. The defenses contained in FRCP 8(c) are incorporated herein by reference for the specific purpose of not waiving any such defenses.

WHEREFORE, Defendant, GARY LEE JONES, SR., prays for judgment against Plaintiff, DA-DAZE-NOM MANZANARES, as follows:

- 1. That the Complaint be dismissed in its entirety;
- 2. That Plaintiff's claims for relief be denied;
- 3. That Defendant be awarded costs and an appropriate attorney's fee;

///

///

23 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24 | .///

25 | ///

26 ///

27 ///

Case 3:07-cv-00076-LRH-RAM Document 17 Filed 05/07/2007

Page 14 of 14