I

I

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8		
9	JOHN INGEBRETSEN,	
10	Petitioner,	Case No. 3:07-cv-00251-LRH-RAM
11	VS.	<u>ORDER</u>
12	JACK PALMER, et al.,	
13	Respondents.	
14		
15	The court stayed this action to allow petitioner to exhaust his available state court remedies.	
16	Order (#97). Petitioner has filed a motion to	reopen (#101), stating that his proceedings in state
17	court have concluded. Respondents do not o	ppose the motion (#103). The court grants this motion.
18		petitioner's motion to lift the stay (#101) is
19	GRANTED . This action is REINSTATED .	
20	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondents shall have forty-five (45) days from the date	
21	on which this order is entered to answer or of	therwise respond to the third amended petition (#87). If
22	respondents file and serve an answer, then they shall comply with Rule 5 of the Rules Governing	
23	Section 2254 Cases in the United States Dist	rict Courts, and then petitioner shall have forty-five
24	(45) days from the date on which the answer is served to file a reply.	
25	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the	e exhibits filed in the court's docket at #102 shall be
26	considered as additional exhibits to the third	amended petition.
27	///	
28	///	

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, from this date forward, the hard copy of any exhibits
2	shall be forwarded—for this case—to the staff attorneys in Las Vegas.
3	DATED this 6th day of October, 2014.
4	11-1
5	Flick
6	LANCI K. HICKS
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	-2-