Friedman v. State Of Nevada et al		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRI	CT OF NEVADA
8		
9	KENNETH FRIEDMAN,	
10	Petitioner,	3:07-cv-00338-LRH-VPC
11	vs.	
12		<u>ORDER</u>
13	STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,	
14	Respondents.	
15	This is a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On August 7, 2009,	
16	the court entered an order requiring, <i>inter alia</i> , respondents to file a response to petitioner's second	
17	amended petition within thirty days of being served with the same. (Docket #103.) On August 24,	
18	2009, petitioner filed a second amended petition. (Docket #104.) The second amended petition	
19	contains a certificate of service on respondents' counsel dated August 20, 2009. More than thirty	
20	days have passed and respondents have not filed a response to the second amended petition.	
21	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that respondents shall, within ten days of the date of	
22	service of this order, <b>SHOW CAUSE</b> why they should not be sanctioned for failure to comply with	
23	the court's order of August 7, 2009.	111-1
24	DATED this 15 <sup>TH</sup> day of October, 200	9. Stank
25		
26		LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
27		OTHER STATES DISTRICT JODGE
28		