or otherwise vacated, or it is no longer equitable that the judgment should 1 have prospective application; or (6) any other reason justifying relief 2 from the operation of the judgment. 3 A motion under Rule 60(b) must be made within a "reasonable time." Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(c)(1). 4 Moreover, a motion for relief that is based on mistake, newly discovered evidence, or fraud (Fed. R. 5 Civ. P. 60(b)(1-3), must be brought within one year of final judgment. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(c)(1). The instant case has been closed since May 22, 2008. (ECF No. 12). Petitioner waited until 6 7 October 6, 2010, two and one half years later, to file his motion. (ECF. No. 18). Petitioner seeks relief 8 from final judgment based on Rule 60(b)(1) (mistake) and 60(b)(6) (any other reason that justifies 9 relief). Petitioner's motion is untimely, and is denied on that basis. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(c)(1); see Ashford v. Steuart, 657 F.2d 1053, 1055 (9th Cir. 1981) ("reasonable" amount of time is something less 10 11 than the one-year standard applied to motions brought under Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(1-3)). 12 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's motion for reconsideration and to re-open 13 the instant action (ECF No. 18) is **DENIED.** 14 Dated this 5th day of February, 2011. 15 Flsihe 16 17 18 LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27

28