

1 Lyons brings his motion for relief from judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b). Rule
2 60(b) provides that a district court may relieve a party from a final judgment or order. Relief from
3 judgment is appropriate upon a showing of mistake, newly discovered evidence, fraud, or excusable
4 neglect. FED. R. CIV. P. 60(b); *see also, Bateman v. U.S. Postal Service*, 231 F.3d 1220, 1223 (9th
5 Cir. 2000). In this instance, Lyons argues relief is appropriate because the court “neglected to
6 construe his claims as alleging a violation of his substantive due process rights stemming from the
7 arbitrary and capricious exercise of discretion by parole officials.” Doc. #127.

8 The court has reviewed Lyons’ arguments and finds Lyons has failed to identify any error
9 warranting reversal of the court’s prior decision. Lyons never raised the issue of a substantive due
10 process claim in either his opposition to the original motion for judgment on the pleadings or in his
11 objection to the magistrate judge’s report and recommendation. His argument is raised for the first
12 time in the present motion. As such, it was not neglect or mistake on the court’s part that there was
13 not a substantive due process analysis.

14 Additionally, the amended complaint is wholly silent to such a claim and fails to state any
15 facts which would support Lyons’ position. Moreover, Lyons even admits in his reply that his
16 amended complaint “does not specifically allege violations of his substantive due process rights”.
17 Doc. #134. His motion is simply an attempt to bypass the dismissal of many of the claims and
18 defendants in this case.

19 Lyons has not provided any justification within Rule 60(b) to warrant granting him relief.
20 Accordingly, the court finds that the March 25, 2009 order was proper and without mistake or
21 error.

22 \

23 \

24 \

25 \

26

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for relief from judgment (Doc. #127) is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 29th day of October, 2009.



LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE