Cox v. Whorton et al Doc. 36

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	*	****	_DISTRICT OF_	NEVADA		
STEVE MIC	HAEL COX,					
Plai V.	Plaintiff,	iff, JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE				
			CASE NUM	BER: 3:08-CV-0011 0)-RCJ-VPC	
GLEN WHO	RTON, et al.,					
	Defendants.					
	Jury Verdict. This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.					
	Decision by Court. This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered.					
	Decision by Court. This action came to be considered before the Court. The issues have been considered and a decision has been rendered.					
as to: 1. All claims against defendants Miller, McDaniel, MacArthur, Whorton, D'Amico, Endel, and Tripp, including those in Counts V, VII, and VIII; 2. All claims brought against all remaining defendants in their official capacities for money damages; 3. Count V - all claims against all defendants These claims are barred by the doctrine of <i>res judicata</i> ; and, 4. Count VIII - Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claims against defendant Lemich with regard to the November 2, 2005 alleged denial of medical care. Plaintiff failed to exhaust his administrative remedies. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (#11) is DENIED as to: 1. Counts 1 and II; 2. Count VIII - Plaintiff's claims against defendant Bishop; and 3. Count VIII - Plaintiff's First and Eighth Amendment claims against defendant Lemich with regard to the July 26, 2006 alleged retaliatory denial of medical care.						
Octo	ober 30, 2009			LANCE S. WILSO Clerk	<u>DN</u>	
				/s/ D. R. Morgan Deputy Clerk	<u> </u>	