

1 Oversight.” (ECF No. 181).

2 This Court’s order filed October 28, 2010, approved the settlement agreement and dismissed
3 this action with prejudice. (ECF No. 174). Pursuant to the court-approved settlement agreement:

4 Subsequent to the dismissal of the *Riker* litigation with prejudice, the
5 parties’ sole remedy to enforce or interpret this agreement, or to
6 otherwise resolve any disputes that may arise from this agreement,
7 other than by pursuing the dispute-resolution process set forth in
8 Section V(M) of this agreement, shall lie in an action for breach of
contract seeking specific performance only (and expressly not money
damages), commenced in a Nevada state court applying Nevada law,
and not reinstatement of the *Riker* litigation in any court for any
purpose.

9 (ECF No. 144-1, at Part I(C), ¶ 6) (capitalization altered). As such, inmate Cox’s motion to overturn
10 the settlement agreement and motion for all other relief, filed August 1, 2011, are denied.

11 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that inmate Steve Cox’s “Petition/Motion for Subpoenas
12 of Records/Witnesses for Evidentiary Hearing” (ECF No. 180) and “Petition/Motion for Evidentiary
13 Hearing Review to Overturn Settlement Proposal, Defunct ESP/MAX Medical Care/ Implementation
14 /Alt. Appointment of Master’s Oversight” (ECF No. 181) are **DENIED**.

15 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that inmate Cox **SHALL FILE NO FURTHER**
16 **DOCUMENTS** in this closed action.

17 Dated this 16th day of August, 2011.



20
21 LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

22
23
24
25
26
27
28