2

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

DAVID RIKER, et al.,

Case No. 3:08-cv-00115-LRH-CLB

Plaintiffs,

v.

JAMES GIBBONS, et al.,

**ORDER** 

Defendants.

Richard Iden, an inmate at Ely State Prison, identifies himself as a "real party in interest" to this closed § 1983 civil rights class action. Currently before the Court are Iden's Motion for Notice of Violation of Settlement Agreement (ECF No. 193) and Motion for Clarification (ECF No. 195). Defendants oppose the motions. (ECF Nos. 194, 196.) Iden did not file replies, and the deadline to do so expired without a request for extension.

The underlying action addressed plaintiffs' allegations that Ely State Prison lacked a constitutionally adequate health care system. Plaintiffs were represented by class counsel. On October 25, 2010, the Court held a fairness hearing regarding a proposed settlement agreement. (ECF No. 172.) The Court entered an order on October 28, 2010, approving the settlement agreement as fair, reasonable, and adequate, under Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and dismissing this case with prejudice. (ECF No. 174.) Judgment was entered on October 28, 2010. (ECF No. 175.)

Iden's motions claim that defendants and their successors are not timely filling his "KOP" medications on a monthly basis. He asserts that defendants' inaction violates the terms of the settlement agreement in this case and he, therefore, asks the Court to enforce the agreement.

The court-approved settlement agreement states as follows:

Subsequent to the dismissal of the *Riker* litigation with prejudice, the parties' sole remedy to enforce or interpret this agreement, or to otherwise resolve any disputes

Doc. 197

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Defendants represent that "KOP" is a correctional acronym for "keep on person." (ECF No. 194 at 2 n.5.)

1 2 3	that may arise from this agreement, other than by pursuing the dispute-resolution process set forth in Section V(M) of this agreement, shall lie in an action for breach of contract seeking specific performance only (and expressly not money damages), commenced in a Nevada state court applying Nevada law, and not reinstatement of the <i>Riker</i> litigation in any court for any purpose.
4	(ECF No. 144-2, at 4, Part I(C), ¶ 6) (capitalization altered). As such, the motions are denied.
5	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that inmate Richard Iden's Motion for Notice of
6	Violation of Settlement Agreement (ECF No. 193) and Motion for Clarification (ECF No. 195)
7	are <b>DENIED</b> .
8	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that inmate Richard Iden SHALL FILE NO FURTHER
9	DOCUMENTS in this closed action.
10	DATED this 15th day of January, 2021.
11	£//.*/
12	I ARRY HICKS
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22   23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	