

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

DURAMED PHARMACEUTICALS,  
INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

WATSON LABORATORIES, INC.,

Defendant/  
Counter-Plaintiff,

v.

DURAMED PHARMACEUTICALS,  
INC.,

Counter-Defendant.

Civil Action No. 3:08-cv-0116 (LRH-RAM)

**ORDER GRANTING  
DEFENDANT WATSON  
LABORATORIES, INC.'S *EX PARTE*  
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF PAGE  
LIMITS FOR REPLY AND FOR MORE  
TIME TO REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S  
OPPOSITION TO WATSON'S MOTION  
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT RE  
OBVIOUSNESS AND OPPOSITION TO  
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO STRIKE**

**The Hon. Larry R. Hicks**

1           Upon consideration of *Watson Laboratories, Inc.’s Ex Parte Motion For An*  
2 *Extension of Page Limits For Reply And For More Time To Reply To Plaintiff’s*  
3 *Opposition For Summary Judgment Re Obviousness And Opposition To Plaintiff’s*  
4 *Motion To Strike* (“Motion”) and the entire record before this Court,

5           It is hereby ORDERED that Watson Laboratories, Inc.’s Motion is GRANTED  
6 and it is further ORDERED that Watson may file a reply brief in support of its Motion  
7 for Summary Judgment Re Obviousness up to 30-pages and the reply brief and its  
8 Opposition to the Motion to Strike shall be due January 6, 2012.

9  
10  
11   IT IS SO ORDERED:

12   DATED this 21st day of December, 2011.

13  
14   

15  
16   \_\_\_\_\_  
17   LARRY R. HICKS  
18   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26