

FILED _____ RECEIVED _____
 ENTERED _____ SERVED ON _____
 COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD

DEC 28 2010

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
 DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

EDWARD E. SEELY,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 JIM GIBBONS et al.,)
)
 Defendants.)

3:08-cv-00125-RCJ-RAM

ORDER

Plaintiff Edward Seely is a prisoner at the Northern Nevada Correctional Center ("NNCC"). Plaintiff sued multiple Defendants under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act based on alleged inadequate accommodation of his paraplegic condition in NNCC's shower stalls. On July 17, 2009, the Magistrate Judge recommended granting two motions to dismiss, granting a third motion to dismiss in part, and denying a fourth motion to dismiss as moot. (See Mag. J. Order, July 7, 2009, ECF No. 133). The Court adopted the recommendations. (See Order, Oct. 23, 2009, ECF No. 158). Two days short of one year later, Plaintiff asks the Court to reconsider under Rule 60(b), based on alleged errors of law. (See Mot., Oct. 21, 2010, ECF No. 237). Defendants move to strike the motion under Local Rule 7-4 because it is 100 pages long, which exceeds the page limit for a motion by 70 pages.

The Court will strike the motion for grossly exceeding the page limit. The Court has hundreds of cases and upwards of 1000 pending motions on its docket and does not have time to read and consider 100-page, hand-written motions to reconsider year-old rulings. Moreover, the motion to reconsider appears to be based on Rule 60(b), which requires a showing of some

RAM Seely v. Gibbons et al

Doc. 261

1 circumstances external to a mere error of law by the Court. Simple "error of law" motions to
2 reconsider fall under Rule 59(e), and the time limit to file such a motion expired in November
3 2009. Perhaps Plaintiff can support a Rule 60(b) motion under one of that rule's subsections, but
4 he must limit his pleading to thirty pages. The Court therefore denies the motion to reconsider
5 under Rule 59(e) with prejudice, but denies the motion under Rule 60(b) without prejudice.


6 **CONCLUSION**

7 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion to Strike (ECF No. 241) is GRANTED.

8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion to Reconsider (ECF No. 237) is DENIED
9 with prejudice with respect to Rule 59(e), but without prejudice with respect to Rule 60(b).

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated this 28th day of December, 2010.

12 
13 _____
14 ROBERT C. JONES
15 United States District Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25