

1 deserving of confidentiality. *See Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1135 (9th
2 Cir. 2005). Specifically, a party must “articulate compelling reasons supported by specific factual
3 findings that outweigh the general history of access and the public policies favoring disclosure.”
4 *Kamakana, City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006) (internal citations
5 omitted).

6 Here, MetLife has not put forth any compelling reasons for sealing the requested documents
7 other than the information is covered by the broad protective order entered in this matter. MetLife
8 has not met its burden to overcome the presumption in favor of public access to court documents.
9 Accordingly, MetLife’s motion for leave shall be denied.

10 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant’s motion for leave to file under seal
11 (Doc. #119) is DENIED.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 DATED this 5th day of March, 2010.



14
15
16 LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE