1	deserving of confidentiality. See Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 331 F.3d 1122, 1135 (9th
2	Cir. 2005). Specifically, a party must "articulate compelling reasons supported by specific factual
3	findings that outweigh the general history of access and the public policies favoring disclosure."
4	Kamakana, City and County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006) (internal citations
5	omitted).
6	Here, MetLife has not put forth compelling reasons for sealing the requested documents.
7	MetLife simply alleges that the "motion refers to and attaches as exhibits materials subject to the
8	protective order." This conclusory allegation is insufficient to overcome MetLife's burden.
9	Accordingly, MetLife's motion for leave shall be denied.
10	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant's motion for leave to file under seal
11	(Doc. #122) is DENIED.
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.
13	DATED this 23rd day of April, 2010. Juliu
14	Outouco
15	LARRY R. HICKS
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	