

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

JUDY KROSHUS, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,  
Defendants.

3:08-cv-0246-LDG-RAM  
(Kroshus I)

ALICIA UHOUSE, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF  
THE INTERIOR, et al.,  
Defendants.

3:08-cv-0285-LDG-RAM

BILL ADAMSON, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Defendant.

3:08-cv-0621-LDG-RAM  
(Adamson I)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

LARRY J. MOORE, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Defendants.

3:09-cv-0167-LDG-RAM

---

JAMES ADGETT, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Defendant.

3:09-cv-0649-LDG-RAM

---

JUDY KROSHUS, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,  
Defendants.

3:09-cv-0713-LDG-RAM  
(Kroshus II)

---

BILL ADAMSON, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Defendant.

3:09-cv-0715-LDG-RAM  
(Adamson II)

---

JASON AMES, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Defendant.

3:10-cv-0463-LDG-RAM

1 THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that, given the order approving good faith settlement  
2 (#658) in Kroshus v. United States (Kroshus I), 3:08-cv-0246-LDG-RAM, defendant Lyon  
3 County's motion for summary judgment (#564) in that case is DENIED as moot.

4 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that, given the order approving good faith settlement  
5 (#270) in Kroshus v. United States (Kroshus II), 3:09-cv-0713-LDG-RAM, defendant Lyon  
6 County's motion for summary judgment (#195) in that case is DENIED as moot.

7 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that, given this court's order signed August 12, 2011,  
8 granting in part and denying in part the United States' motion to dismiss (#20) in Adgett v. United  
9 States, 3:09-cv-0649-LDG-RAM, the United States' motion to renew and reinstate that motion  
10 (#69) is DENIED as moot.

11 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that, given the court's ruling from the bench on June  
12 13, 2011, granting the United States' motion to strike jury demand and to strike claims for  
13 prejudgment interest (#19) in Adgett v. United States, 3:09-cv-0649-LDG-RAM, the United  
14 States' motion to renew and reinstate that motion (#71) is DENIED as moot.

15 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that, based on the similar reasoning used by the court  
16 in its order signed on August 12, 2011, the United States' motion to dismiss duplicative claims by  
17 certain plaintiffs (#45) in Kroshus v. United States (Kroshus II), 3:09-cv-713-LDG-RAM, is  
18 hereby GRANTED.


19 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that, given the court's order signed August 12, 2011,  
20 granting the United States' motion to dismiss duplicative claims (#21) and granting in part and  
21 denying in part the United States' motion to dismiss (#23) in Adamson v. United States (Adamson  
22 II 3:09-cv-0715-LDG-RAM, the United States' motions (#72 and #73) to renew those motions are  
23 DENIED as moot.

24 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that, given the court's ruling from the bench on June  
25 13, 2011, granting the United States' motion to strike jury demand and claims for prejudgment  
26

1 interest and attorney's fees (#20) in Adamson v. United States (Adamson II), 3:09-cv-0715-LDG-  
2 RAM, the United States' motion to renew that motion (#75) is DENIED as moot.

3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

DATED this 27 day of September, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lloyd D. George  
United States District Judge