1	Anthony T. Case, Esq.		
2	Nevada Bar No. 6589 Aileen E. Cohen, Esq.		
3	Nevada Bar No. 5263 FARMER CASE & FEDOR		
4	9065 S. Pecos Road, Suite 200 Henderson, NV 89074		
5	(702) 579-3900 / (702) 739-3001 (Fax) tcase@farmercase.com		
6	acohen@farmercase.com		
7	John M. Fedor, Esq. (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) FARMER CASE & FEDOR		
8	402 W. Broadway, Suite 1100 San Diego, California 92101		
9	(619) 338-0300 / (619) 338-0180 (Fax) jfedor@farmercase.com		
10			
10	Attorneys for Defendant KEYSTONE REALTY		
12		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
13			
14	JUDY KROSHUS, et al.,	CASE NO.: 3:08-cv-00246-LDG-RAM	
15	Plaintiff,	CASE NO.: 3:09-cv-00713-RCJ-RAM	
16	V. ()	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT	
17	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, et al.,	KEYSTONE REALTY'S MOTION FOR DETERMINATION OF GOOD	
18	Defendants.	FAITH SETTLEMENT	
19			
20			
21			
22	Defendant, KEYSTONE REALTY, by an	nd through its attorneys, FARMER CASE HACK	
23	& FEDOR, having received no opposition to its ((Document No. 640) Motion for Determination of	
24	Good Faith Settlement and having made no appe	arance as a result, and the Court having	
25	considered the papers and pleadings on file herei	n,	
26	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDG	ED AND DECREED that:	
27			
28	C:\Users\Remote\AppData\Local\Temp\Motion for Good Faith.Order Gr	anting.doc	
		DANT KEYSTONE REALTY'S	
	MOTION FOR DETERMINATION	N OF GOOD FAITH SETTLEMENT Dockets.Justia.c	

11.The Court has considered the factors discussed in *The Doctors Co. v. Vincent*, 1202Nev. 644, at 652, 98 P.3d 681, at 687 (2004).

3 2. The Court has considered the factors discussed in *MGM Grand Hotel Fire*, 570
4 F.Supp. 913 (D.Nev. 1983).

3. The Court has considered the settlement amount of \$60,000.00 between Plaintiffs
and Defendant KEYSTONE REALTY, which is to be paid from insurance funds. The Court
finds that the settlement amount is reasonable and sufficient.

8 4. The Court has considered the damages claimed by Plaintiffs, and it has considered
9 the risks of proceeding on both sides. The Court finds that there is a strong potential that
10 Defendant KEYSTONE REALTY would be able to prevail against the Plaintiffs' claims
11 pursuant to NRS Chapter 645.

The Court has considered whether there was collusion or fraud relating to the
 settlement. The Court has considered whether there was collusion or fraud relating to the
 settlement. The Court finds that there was no collusion or fraud relating to the settlement
 between Plaintiffs and Defendant KEYSTONE REALTY. The settlement amount was
 determines through an arms length negotiation.

17 6. The Court has considered the financial condition of the settling defendants and the
18 insurance coverage of the settling defendant. Defendant KEYSTONE REALTY is insured and
19 the insurance is sufficient to cover the settlement. The Court finds that the settlement amount
20 reasonable and sufficient in light of Defendant KEYSTONE REALTY's insurance coverage.

7. The Court has considered the strength and weaknesses of potential indemnity and
contribution claims. The Court hold that Defendant KEYSTONE REALTY would be able to
show that they did not have active fault. The Court finds that potential claims for contribution
and equitable indemnity do not weigh in favor of denying good faith.

8. The settlement agreement between Plaintiffs and Defendant KEYSTONE
 REALTY satisfies the factors set forth in *The Doctors Co. v. Vincent*, 120 Nev. 644, at 652, 98
 P.3d 681, at 687 (2004) and *MGM Grand Hotel Fire*, 570 F.Supp. 913 (D.Nev. 1983).

28

C:\Users\Remote\AppData\Local\Temp\Motion for Good Faith.Order Granting.doc

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT KEYSTONE REALTY'S MOTION FOR DETERMINATION OF GOOD FAITH SETTLEMENT

1	9. The settlement agreement between Plaintiffs and Defendant KEYSTONE	
2	REALTY was entered into in good faith.	
3	///	
4	10. No opposition, written or oral, was received to Defendant KEYSTONE REALTY's	
5	Motion for Determination of Good Faith Settlement.	
6	11. Defendant KEYSTONE REALTY's Motion for Determination of Good Faith	
7	Settlement is hereby granted in its entirety.	
8	12. All claims, whether known or unknown, against Defendant KEYSTONE REALTY	
9	for contribution and/or equitable indemnity are hereby extinguished pursuant to NRS 17.245.	
10	IT IS FURTHER HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:	
11	1. Defendant KEYSTONE REALTY's Motion for Determination of Good Faith	
12	Settlement is hereby GRANTED, each part to bear its own attorney fees and costs;	
13	2. All claim, whether known or unknown, against Defendant KEYSTONE REALTY	
14	for contribution and/or equitable indemnity are hereby extinguished.	
15 16	Dated this day of, 2012	
17		
18 19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	C:\Users\Remote\AppData\Local\Temp\Motion for Good Faith.Order Granting.doc	
	3 ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT KEYSTONE REALTY'S MOTION FOR DETERMINATION OF GOOD FAITH SETTLEMENT	

1	Respectfully submitted by:			
2				
3		FARMER CASE HACK & FEDOR		
4		By:		
5				
6	Date:	Anthony T. Case, Esq.		
7		Nevada Bar No. 6589 Aileen E. Cohen, Esq. Navada Bar No. 5263		
8		Nevada Bar No. 5263 John M. Fedor, Esq. <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Admission 9065 S. Pecos Road, Suite 200 Henderson, NV 89074 Counsel for Defendant KEYSTONE REALTY		
9				
10				
11		NETSTONE NEALTT		
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	<u>C:\Users\</u>	Remote\AppData\Local\Temp\Motion for Good Faith.Order Granting.doc		
		4 ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT KEYSTONE REALTY'S MOTION FOR DETERMINATION OF GOOD FAITH SETTLEMENT		