Doc. 884

1	LARRY J. MOORE, et al.,	3:09-cv-0167-LDG
2	Plaintiffs,	
3	V.	
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
5	Defendants.	
6		
7	JAMES ADGETT, et al.,	3:09-cv-0649-LDG
8	Plaintiffs,	
9	V.	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
11	Defendant.	_
12	JUDY KROSHUS, et al.,	3:09-cv-0713-LDG (Kroshus II)
13	Plaintiffs,	
14	v.	
15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,	
16	Defendants.	_
17	BILL ADAMSON, et al.,	3:09-cv-0715-LDG (Adamson II)
18	Plaintiffs,	(
	V.	
19	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
20	Defendant.	
21	JASON AMES, et al.,	3:10-cv-0463-LDG
22	Plaintiffs,	
23	v.	
24	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
25	Defendant.	
26		-

In conformity with the subdivision of pending motions for oral arguments and rulings announced by the court in its order of March 16, 2011, and in the further interests of case management of this litigation,

THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that the following motions are DENIED without prejudice subject to their reinstatement by the court in the due course of the aforementioned proceedings:

## Kroshus v. United States, 3:08-cv-0246-LDG

Plaintiffs' renewal and reinstatement of motion for summary judgment on the issue of the Bureau of Reclamation's level of flows through the Truckee Canal (#879)

## Kroshus v. United States, 3:09-cv-0713-LDG

Plaintiffs' renewal and reinstatement of motion for summary judgment regarding the level of flows through the Truckee Canal (#413)

The dispositive motions involving the United States are the next matters on this court's docket.

DATED this 20 day of September, 2015,

United States District Judge