Doc. 92 Smith v. McDaniel et al. 1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 7 8 ROBERT ANTHONY SMITH, 9 Petitioner, 3:08-cv-00335-RCJ-WGC 10 VS. **ORDER** 11 RENEE BAKER, et al., 12 Respondents. 13 14 15 In this habeas corpus action, the petitioner, Robert Anthony Smith, is represented by court-16 appointed counsel. On August 25, 2015, this court denied Smith relief, and judgment was entered 17 accordingly. See Order entered August 25, 2015 (ECF No. 81); Judgment (ECF No. 82). Smith 18 appealed, and the court of appeals affirmed on October 20, 2016. See Memorandum Order filed 19 October 20, 2016 (ECF No. 87). The court of appeals issued its mandate on November 15, 2016 (ECF No. 88). 20 21 On December 5, 2016, Smith, acting pro se, filed a motion (ECF No. 89), seeking an order of 22 this court compelling his attorney to turn over to him her file relative to this case. 23 Smith does not show that court intervention is required, at this time, with respect to his wish to receive materials regarding his case from his attorney. This case was only recently resolved at the 24 25 court of appeals level. There is no indication that Smith has written to his attorney, or otherwise 26

contacted her, to request materials related to his case. And, there is no indication that his attorney refuses, or will refuse, to turn materials over to Smith in a manner consistent with her ethical obligation.

The court will, therefore, deny Smith's motion, without prejudice to him filing a new motion in this regard, if necessary, after a reasonable amount of time, if Smith is unable to obtain materials regarding his case from his attorney.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that petitioner's motion for order requiring attorney to turn over case file (ECF No. 89) is **DENIED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court shall serve a copy of this order on petitioner, by mail, at Ely State Prison.

Dated this 4th day of January 202016.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE