26

States Magistrate Judge (#50). In response to plaintiff's objections (#51), the court notes that the magistrate judge properly and accurately represented his claims. Further, plaintiff makes no allegations concerning the security pen or its alleged deficiencies in his complaint; rather, Count 2 of his complaint, which asserts his claim relating to legal supplies, mentions only that he is being denied sufficient paper and envelopes. Finally, the magistrate judge did not err in applying the "actual injury" standard to plaintiff's claim for injunctive relief. See Maulden v. Henry, 166 F.3d 1218 (9th Cir. 1998) (unpublished disposition) (applying actual injury standard to prisoner's motion for preliminary injunction); Thomas v. Lewis, 1996 WL 742367 (9th Cir. 1996) (unpublished disposition) (same).

Accordingly, the plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order (#13) and his motion for a preliminary injunction (#12) are hereby **DENIED**.

Howard & Mikillan

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: This 30th day of November, 2009.