1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6	JOHN SPISAK,)
7) Plaintiff,) Case No. 3:08-cv-0474-HDM-VPC
8) VS.)
9	C/O GUERRERO, <i>et al.</i> ,
10	Defendants.
11	On June 23, 2009, this Court entered an order (#6) requiring plaintiff's to submit an amended
12	complaint containing all the claims that he wished to pursue in this litigation. Plaintiff had up to and
13	including July 23, 2009, in which to file an amended complaint in compliance with the Court's order.
14	The Court further advised plaintiff that failure to comply with the Court's order would result in dismissal
15	of his action. On July 27, 2009, plaintiff submitted an "affidavit" (#7) making various unfounded
16	allegations and complaints about a judicial conspiracy to frame him for various crimes. Plaintiff has not
17	submitted an amended complaint and has failed to comply with the Court's order.
18	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action shall be DISMISSED without prejudice. The
19	Clerk of the Court shall enter final judgment accordingly.
20	DATED: August 13, 2009.
21	Howard DMEKiller
22	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
23	
24	
25	
26	