tephen v. Access	s SecurePak et al	Doc. 9	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
8			
9	JIMMIE STEPHEN, CDCR#C-56483,	}	
10	Plaintiff,	) Case No. 3:08-cv-00476-LRH-VPC	
11	VS.	)	
12	ACCESS SECUREPAK, et al.,	ORDER	
13	Defendants.		
14			
15	Plaintiff Jimmie Stephen, a prisoner in California, submitted a pro se complaint to this Court		
16	seeking to initiate a civil rights action. Plaintiff also submitted an application to proceed in forma		
17	pauperis ("IFP"). On July 20, 2009, the Court vacated and withdrew its prior order that had granted		
18	plaintiff's pauper application. (Order, #7). The Court found that plaintiff may not proceed IFP because		
19	he had "struck out" within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). The Court then ordered plaintiff must		
20	prepay the \$350.00 filing fee in full no later than thirty (30) days after entry of the order.		
21	Plaintiff subsequently filed a Motion to Alter or Amend Proposed Judgment Vacating Forma-		
22	Pauperis (#8). Plaintiff essentially argues that the Co	urt should allow him to proceed in forma pauperis	
23	because the Ninth Circuit granted his pauper application in one of his appeals, and his "strikes" occurred		
24	in California, not in this Court. Plaintiff's argum	ents are unpersuasive. The Court's analysis or	
25	reasoning in its prior order (#7) remains unchanged,	as plaintiff has not indicated in any way that he is	
26	///		
27	///		
28			

1	in imminent danger of serious physical injury. Plaintiff has not paid the filing fee in response to the
2	Court's order, and the action will be dismissed.
3	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action shall be DISMISSED without prejudice for
4	failure to pay the filing fee. The Clerk of Court shall enter final judgment accordingly.
5	DATED this 24 <sup>th</sup> day of August, 2009.
6	Elsihe
7	Outouco
8	LARRY R. HICKS
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2