

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \* \* \*

CHRISTOPHER A. JONES,	)	
	)	3:08-cv-00537-LRH-VPC
Plaintiff,	)	<u>ORDER</u>
vs.	)	
E. K. McDANIEL, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

---

Before the court is Plaintiff’s Objection to Magistrate’s Order (#18) Pursuant to FRCP 72(a) and Motion to Revoke (#21<sup>1</sup>) and Defendants’ Response to Plaintiff’s Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Order Setting an Early Mediation Conference (#26). The court will treat Plaintiff’s objection (#21) as a motion to reconsider the Magistrate Judge’s Order #18.

The Court has conducted its review in this case, has fully considered the Plaintiff’s motion, and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1), and concludes that the Magistrate Judge’s ruling was neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law.

The Magistrate Judge’s Order (#18) will, therefore, be sustained and Plaintiff’s motion (#21) is denied.

Also before the court is Defendants’ Motion for Enlargement of Time to file Opposition (#19) to Plaintiff’s Motion for Reconsideration (#16) until after the Early Mediation Conference scheduled for September 22, 2009. Plaintiff has filed his Opposition to Defendants Motion for Enlargement of Time (#22). Good cause appearing,

---

<sup>1</sup>Refers to this court’s docket number.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Defendants' motion for enlargement of time (#19) is granted. Defendants shall have to and including Wednesday, September 30, 2009, to file and serve their opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration (#16).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of September, 2009.



---

LARRY R. HICKS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE