| 1 | | |
|----|---|-----------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT | COUDT |
| 17 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA | |
| 18 | SOUTH FORK BAND COUNCIL OF WESTERN | |
| 19 | SHOSHONE OF NEVADA, et al. | 3:08-cv-00616-LRH-RAM |
| 20 | | AMENDED SCHEDULING |
| 21 | Plaintiffs, | ORDER |
| 22 | V. | |
| 23 | UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, <i>et al.</i> | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | Defendants, | |
| 26 | and | |
| 27 | BARRICK CORTEZ, INC. | |
| 28 | Defendant-Intervenor | |
| | | |

1 Upon consideration of the Federal Defendants' Unopposed Motion to Modify Scheduling 2 Order, and finding that good cause exists for the granting of the Motion, the Motion is hereby 3 **GRANTED**; and it is hereby 4 **ORDERED** that Defendants' and Defendant-Intervenor's Responses to Plaintiffs' 5 Motion for Summary Judgment and Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment are due March 12, 6 2010, and that each of their briefs in support thereof may comprise up to 40 pages; 7 **ORDERED** that Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary 8 Judgment and Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' and Defendant-Intervenor's Cross-Motions for 9 Summary Judgment are due April 16, 2010, and that their brief in support thereof may comprise 10 up to 40 pages; 11 **ORDERED** that Defendants' and Defendant-Intervenor's Replies in Support of their 12 Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment are due May 14, 2010, and that each of their briefs in 13 support thereof may comprise up to 20 pages. 14 **ORDERED** that the parties need not submit Statements of Material Fact in support of 15 their motions and cross-motions and may simply cite to the administrative record previously 16 lodged with the Court. 17 **ORDERED** that the parties shall jointly submit to the Court a printed appendix of all 18 sections of the administrative record cited in the parties' briefs by May 28, 2010. 19 **ORDERED** that the parties shall not address at this stage whether a permanent injunction 20 shall issue if this Court grants Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment. Following the Court's 21 ruling on the merits of the FLPMA and NEPA claims, the Court will determine what further 22 proceedings are necessary and the manner in which they will be conducted. 23 Flin 24 IT IS SO ORDERED. 25 Dated: January 19, 2010. The Honorable Larry R. Hicks 26 United States District Court Judge

- 2 -

27

28