

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

DAVID MICHAEL STEINHAUER,  
Petitioner,  
vs.  
E. K. MCDANIEL, et al.,  
Respondents.

Case No. 3:08-CV-00619-LRH-(VPC)

**ORDER**

Respondents have submitted a Motion for Enlargement of Time (First Request) (#22), in which they ask for additional time to respond to the Petition (#10), and a Motion for Enlargement of Time (Second Request) (#23), in which they ask for additional time to respond to Petitioner’s Motion for Reconsideration (#17). Good cause appearing;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Respondents’ Motion for Enlargement of Time (First Request) (#22) is **GRANTED**. Respondents shall have through June 29, 2009, to file and serve an answer or other response to the Petition (#12).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondents’ Motion for Enlargement of Time (Second Request) (#23) is **GRANTED**. Respondents shall have through May 22, 2009, to file and serve a response to Petitioner’s Motion for Reconsideration (#17).

DATED this 19<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2009.

\_\_\_\_\_  
LARRY R. HICKS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE