Steinhauer v. McD	aniel et al II	Doc. 49
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8		
9	DAVID MICHAEL STEINHAUER,	
10	Petitioner,	3:08-cv-00619-LRH-VPC
11	vs.	ORDER
12	E. K. McDANIEL, et al.,	
13	Respondents.	
14		
15	This is a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On November 30,	
16	2009, respondents filed a motion for an extension of time to file their reply to petitioner's opposition	
17	to respondents' motion to dismiss. (Docket #47.) Good cause appearing, respondents' motion for	
18	an extension of time is <b>HEREBY GRANTED nunc pro tunc.</b> Respondents are <b>GRANTED</b> to and	
19	including February 8, 2010, in which to file and serve their reply.	
20	DATED this 9 <sup>th</sup> day of February, 2010.	
21		Elsihe
22		Outour
23		LARRY R. HICKS
24		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
25		
26		
27		
28		
	A	