1	DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney		
2	GREG ADDINGTON		
3	Nevada Bar No. 6875 Assistant United States Attorney		
4	100 West Liberty, Suite 600 Reno, Nevada 89501		
5	Tel.: (775) 784-5438 Fax: (775) 784-5181		
6			
7		S DISTRICT COURT	
8	DISTRIC	CT OF NEVADA	
9		)	
10	BILL ADAMSON et al.,	) )	
11	Plaintiffs,	) 3:08-cv-621-LDG-RAM	
12	V.	) UNITED STATES' MOTION TO ) DISMISS CLAIMS OF LORILLEE	
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) BABCOCK )	
14	Defendant.	) )	
15	JUDY KROSHUS, et al.,	) 3:09-cv-713-LDG-RAM	
16	Plaintiffs,	) FEDERAL DEFENDANTS' MOTION	
17	V.	) TO DISMISS CLAIMS OF ) LORILLEE BABCOCK	
18	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et	) )	
19	al.,	) )	
20	Defendants.	) )	
21	BILL ADAMSON et al.,	) )	
22	Plaintiffs,	) 3:09-cv-715-LDG-RAM	
23	V.	) UNITED STATES' MOTION TO	
24	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) DISMISS CLAIMS OF LORILLEE ) BABCOCK	
25	Defendant.	) )	
26		)	
27			
28		1	

The federal defendants in these related actions, through their undersigned counsel, move this Court for dismissal of the claims brought by plaintiff LORILLEE BABCOCK pursuant to Rules 7(b) and 25(a), Fed.R.Civ.P.

The grounds for this motion are that plaintiff Lorillee
Babcock died in November 2009, a suggestion of death was filed on
March 19, 2010, no substitution of a personal representative or
other successor has been made, and this action must be dismissed
in accordance with Rule 25(a)(1).

This motion is based on the papers and pleadings filed in this action and the accompanying memorandum of law.

Respectfully submitted,

DANIEL G. BOGDEN
United States Attorney

/s/ Greq Addington

GREG ADDINGTON

Assistant United States Attorney

## MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS CLAIMS OF LORILLEE BABCOCK

## A. INTRODUCTION

Lorillee Babcock is a plaintiff in each of these three related actions arising from the January 2008 Truckee Canal embankment failure in Fernley, Nevada. In "Adamson I" (3:08-cv-621) and "Adamson II" (3:09-cv-715), plaintiff Babcock is one of many plaintiffs seeking recovery of tort damages against the United States. In "Kroshus II" (3:09-cv-713), plaintiff Babcock is one of many plaintiffs seeking judicial review of the decision by the Bureau of Reclamation to permit limited resumption of water flows in the canal following the repair of the breach site.<sup>1</sup>

In November 2009, plaintiff Babcock died. On March 19, 2010, the federal defendants filed a Suggestion of Death on the Record (#81 - Adamson I; #37 - Adamson II; #77 - Kroshus II) in accordance with Rule 25(a)(1), Fed.R.Civ.P.

The 90-day deadline imposed by Rule 25(a)(1) has expired and no substitution of a personal representative or other successor has been made. Accordingly, this action must be dismissed by the plain terms of Rule 25(a)(1).

Plaintiff Babcock is not a plaintiff in "Kroshus I" (3:08-cv-246), an action which seeks the same relief as that sought in "Kroshus II." The federal defendants have filed their motion for summary judgment in "Kroshus I" and "Kroshus II," which motions are pending. Multiple motions are pending in "Adamson II." The current motion does not affect the viability of any other pending motions.

## B. ARGUMENT

Rule 25(a)(1), Fed.R.Civ.P., provides a mechanism for substitution of a successor when a party dies during the course of litigation and the litigation is not automatically extinguished by the death. If the claim is not automatically extinguished, a motion for substitution must be filed "within 90 days after service of a statement noting the death..." If such a motion for substitution is not filed within the 90-day time period, "the action by or against the decedent must be dismissed." See Rule 25(a)(1).

On March 19, 2010, the federal defendants filed a Suggestion of Death on the Record in each of the three cases in which decedent Lorillee Babcock is a plaintiff. The 90-day deadline has expired for the filing of a motion for substitution of a successor to Babcock and no such motion has been filed.

Accordingly, this action "by or against the decedent [Babcock] must be dismissed" by the plain terms of the operative Rule 25(a)(1). See Hofheimer v. McIntee, 179 F.2d 789 (7th Cir. 1950); see also Patrick v. Sharon Steel Corp., 549 F.Supp. 1259 (W.Va. 1982).

1	C. CONCLUSION
2	Based on the foregoing, the claims of plaintiff Lorilee
3	Babcock must be dismissed in accordance with Rule 25(a),
4	Fed.R.Civ.P.
5	
6	Respectfully submitted,
7 8	DANIEL G. BOGDEN
9	United States Attorney
10	/s/ Greg Addington GREG ADDINGTON
11	Assistant United States Attorney
12	
13	
14	ORDER
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.
16	DATED this day of July, 2010.
17	- Thomas Harge
18 19	Lloyd D. George Sr. U.S. District Judge
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	5