Randolph v	, Gittere et al		Doc. 127
	Case 3:08-cv-00650-LRH-CLB Docu	ment 127 Filed 08/25/23 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
8			
9	CHARLES LEE RANDOLPH,	Case No. 3:08-cv-00650-LRH-CLB	
10	Petitioner,		
11	V.	ORDER	
12	WILLIAM GITTERE, <i>et al.</i> ,		
13	Respondents.		
14			
15	In this capital habeas corpus action	the Court has appointed the Federal Public	

tal habeas corpus action, the Court has appointed the 10 in this 16 Defender for the District of Idaho (FPD) as counsel for the petitioner, Charles Lee 17 Randolph. ECF No. 67. On August 23, 2023, the Court received from the FPD an ex parte 18 communication, Exhibit A (which will be filed for the record but under seal), in which the 19 FPD requests permission to represent Randolph in a successive post-conviction habeas 20 corpus action in state court. Having reviewed the request, and having carefully considered 21 the history of this action, and in consideration of the efficiencies in moving all proceedings 22 along expeditiously, the Court finds good cause to permit Randolph's federally appointed 23 counsel to represent him in the successive state-court habeas action. See 18 U.S.C. § 24 3599(e); Harbison v. Bell, 556 U.S. 180, 190 n.7 (2009). By granting the FPD's request, 25 the Court does not purport to encourage, or convey any position with respect to the merits 26 of, the proposed state court litigation.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the request for authorization for the Federal
Public Defender for the District of Idaho to represent Petitioner in a successive petition

1

for writ of habeas corpus in state court is **GRANTED**. Petitioner's federally-appointed counsel is authorized to represent him in state court in a successive post-conviction habeas corpus action. The Court takes no position with regards to any merit or lack of merit in the proposed successive petition.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED THIS 25th day of August, 2023.

LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE