

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6

7 CHARLES LEE RANDOLPH,

8 Petitioner,

9 v.

10 WILLIAM GITTERE, *et al.*,

11 Respondents.
12
13

Case No. 3:08-cv-00650-LRH-CLB

**ORDER GRANTING
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
(ECF NO. 87)**

14 In this capital habeas corpus action, after a 179-day extension of time (ECF No.
15 81), and a 180-day extension of time (ECF No. 86), both of which were necessary
16 because of delays caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, the petitioner, Charles Lee
17 Randolph, represented by appointed counsel, was due to file a second amended
18 habeas petition by April 7, 2020.

19 On March 16, 2021, Randolph filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 87),
20 requesting a further extension of time to October 4, 2021—a 180-day extension—to file
21 his second amended petition. Randolph's counsel states that the extension of time is
22 necessary because of delays in their investigation caused by the COVID-19 pandemic.
23 The respondents do not oppose the motion for extension of time.

24 The Court finds that Randolph's motion for extension of time is made in good
25 faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the
26 extension of time requested.

27 ///

28 ///

