In its order, the court indicated that, in addressing the motion to dismiss, it would employ the standard applicable to a motion for summary judgment and consider whether jurisdictional facts were in dispute. Because there are potential disputed issues of fact, it is appropriate for both Plaintiff and Defendant to conduct the limited discovery authorized by the court's previous order.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Clarification is GRANTED as follows: *Both* parties are granted 60 days to conduct discovery concerning (1) whether McCreary can meet the injury-in-fact element of constitutional standing and (2) whether McCreary is a "participant, beneficiary, or fiduciary" under 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(3).

Any further disputes concerning the limited discovery authorized by the court will be referred to U.S. Magistrate Judge Robert A. McQuaid.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 26<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2009.

LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Elsihe