

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\*\*\*\*\*

SCOTTIE RAY VAN NORT,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	3:09-cv-00042-LRH-WGC
v.	)	
	)	
GLEN FAIR, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	
SCOTTIE RAY VAN NORT,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	3:09-cv-109-LRH-WGC
v.	)	
	)	
RICK ASHER, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	
SCOTTIE RAY VAN NORT,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	3:09-cv-110-LRH-WGC
v.	)	
	)	
GLEN FAIR, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

ORDER

Before this Court is the Report and Recommendation of U.S. Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb<sup>1</sup> entered on July 18, 2012, recommending granting certain counts and dismissing other counts of Defendants'

<sup>1</sup> The Report and Recommendation is identified as document #86 in case no. 3:09-cv-0042-LRH-WGC; document #106 in case no. 3:09-cv-0109-LRH-WGC; and document #44 in case no. 3:09-cv-0110-LRH-WGC.

1 Motion for Summary Judgment (42 Doc. #62<sup>2</sup>) filed on August 19, 2011. No objection to the Magistrate  
2 Judge's Report and Recommendation has been filed. The action was referred to the Magistrate Judge  
3 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)B and Local Rule 1B 1-4 of the Rules of Practice of the United States  
4 District Court for the District of Nevada.

5 The Court has conducted its *de novo* review in this case, has fully considered the pleadings and  
6 memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1) (B) and  
7 Local Rule IB 3-2. The Court determines that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation entered  
8 on July 17, 2012, should be adopted and accepted.

9 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#86 in  
10 case no. 3:09-cv-0042-LRH-WGC; #106 in case no. 3:09-cv-0109-LRH-WGC; and #44 in case no. 3:09-  
11 cv-0110 entered on July 17, 2012, is adopted and accepted as follows:

- 12 • In 3:09-cv-00109, Doe defendants are DISMISSED without prejudice;
- 13 • In 3:09-cv-00109, summary judgment is GRANTED in favor of defendant Hindelang as to Count  
14 7;
- 15 • In 3:09-cv-00109, Counts 8 and 12 are DISMISSED without prejudice as the allegations  
16 contained therein are only directed toward Doe defendants;
- 17 • In 3:09-cv-00109, summary judgment is GRANTED as to defendant Ramsey in Counts 13 and  
18 14; and
- 19 • In 3:09-cv-00110, summary judgment is GRANTED as to defendant Ramsey in Count 5.

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 DATED this 10th day of September, 2012.



22  
23 \_\_\_\_\_  
LARRY R. HICKS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

24 \_\_\_\_\_  
25 <sup>2</sup>On April 22, 2011, Case Nos. 3:09-cv-00042, 3:09-cv-109 and 3:09-cv-110 were consolidated.  
26 The motion for summary judgment was filed in case 3:09-cv-00042.