1 Kent R. Robison, Esq. (SBN 1167) Clayton P. Brust, Esq. (SNB 5234)	N
4 tele: (775) 329-3151 5 Attorneys for Defendants 6 Greater Nevada Builders, and Steven F. 7	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8 DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10 LARRY J. MOORE, et al. 3:09-cv-00167-BES-VPC Plaintiffs,	
v. 12. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
13 Defendant. 14 //	
15 ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR GOOD FAITH SETTLEMENT DETERMINATION AND DISMISSAL OF CLAIMS	
Based on Motion made by Greater Nevada Builders and Steven F. Camp 17	юу,
18 individually and dba Steven F. Campoy General Contractors, (collectively "GN	B"), by
¹⁹ and through their attorneys, Robison, Belaustegui, Sharp & Low, upon the paper	pers and
20 pleadings on file in this matter, and the hearing held thereon, the Court now e 21	nters its
findings of facts, conclusions of law and judgment as follows:	
1. This case arises from a breach of the Truckee Canal in Lyon Cou	nty,
24 Nevada on January 5, 2008.	
 25 2. Numerous Complaints for Damages and numerous claims were find 26 26 26 26 26 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 26 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 21 21 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 21 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 22 22 23 24 24<	
27	
related to the Fernley flood of January 5, 2008. The parties to this action are	set

Dockets.Justia.com

10

RAM Moore et al vs USA

Case 3:09-cv-00167-LDG -RAM Document 173 Filed 08/03/11 Page 2 of 4

forth in the caption and have been identified in the pleadings on file in the abovecaptioned matter.

1

2

3

4

5

6

22

3. Plaintiffs and various parties claim that they suffered damages resulting from the flood waters that came from the breach of the Truckee Canal on January 5, 2008.

7 4. Plaintiffs and various parties allege that the January 5, 2008 flood in 8 Fernley was due to the inadequate maintenance and operation of the Canal, among 9 other reasons. They further assert that the flood consequences were "exacerbated" 10 by the City of Fernley and the County of Lyon because of "intentional indifference" to 11 requiring the contractors and builders of the residential subdivision to construct 12 13 infrastructure that would minimize the damage caused by flooding in the event the 14 flood waters entered the subdivision where Plaintiffs' homes were located.

15
 5. Plaintiffs also assert that the flood consequences were exacerbated by
 the "errors and omissions" in constructing various elements, including Rolling
 Meadows subdivision improvements, houses, Jenny's Lane crossing and the
 "Knuckle" at Wrangler Road and Wagon Wheel, committed by the Defendants, as
 well as allegations of misrepresentations made on the sale of Rolling Meadows
 properties.

6. GNB has also sued numerous parties as identified in the moving
papers, pleadings and caption.

7. Various parties have sued GNB in this and/or other actions as
 identified in the moving papers, pleadings, and caption on the theories of negligence,
 contribution and indemnity.

-2-

Case 3:09-cv-00167-LDG -RAM Document 173 Filed 08/03/11 Page 3 of 4

I

1	8. It is alleged that GNB was the developer and general contractor of
2	Rolling Meadows, involved in the Jenny's Lane crossing and the "Knuckle" at
3	Wrangler Road and Wagon Wheel and that GNB was at least partially responsible for
4 5	alleged defects in the Rolling Meadows subdivision. It is also alleged that
6	misrepresentations were made when the Rolling Meadows properties were sold.
7	9. GNB claims it never had any active role as the developer or general
8	contractor of Rolling Meadows or the other improvements and instead was simply the
9	home builder. It is agreed that GNB built the houses in the development. However,
10 11	Plaintiffs and other parties allege, despite GNB's position, that GNB did more. There
12	is a dispute as to whether GNB has any liability for Plaintiffs' damages.
13	10. An amicable global settlement of this matter has been reached among
14	the Plaintiffs and most parties to this case.
15 16	11. The Settlement Agreement essentially provides that GNB shall
17	collectively pay the sum of \$375,000.00 in exchange for a complete release from the
18	instant action and all pending actions as listed in GNB's Motion For Good Faith
19	Settlement Determination.
20	12. Neither Greater Nevada Builders nor Steven Campoy had insurance
21 22	that would provide coverage for any of the pending actions.
23	13. GNB has significantly lessened its business activities and thus has no
24	regular source of income from which to make any future payments. Without a source
25	of income, GNB's defense of these pending actions dissipates the limited pool of
26	funds available for settlement of these lawsuits.
27 28	14. The amount paid by GNB will be allocated to the Rondy class pursuant
	-3-

to calculations to which GNB was not in privy, but the sum paid is in consideration
 for the global settlement of all claims.

15. GNB actions were those of a house builder and as such were more passive than most other Defendants, thus undermining any claims for indemnity.

16. There is no evidence or allegation that this settlement is fraudulently, collusively or tortiously aimed at injuring non-settling Defendants.

17. The Court grants approval for the Global Settlement Agreement.

18. The Court finds that the settlement agreement is made in good faith.

19. The matter is dismissed with prejudice as to all claims against Greater Nevada Builders, Inc. and Steven F. Campoy, Individually and dba Steven F. Campoy General Contracting, each party to pay their own costs and fees.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: August 4, 2011.

ATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

-4-