-RAM Moore et al vs USA

Doc. 180

1	LARRY J. MOORE, et al.,	3:09-cv-0167-LDG-RAM
2	Plaintiffs,	
3	v.	
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
5	Defendants.	
6		
7	JAMES ADGETT, et al.,	3:09-cv-0649-LDG-RAM
8	Plaintiffs,	
9	V.	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
11	Defendant.	
12	JUDY KROSHUS, et al.,	3:09-cv-0713-LDG-RAM (Kroshus II)
13	Plaintiffs,	
14	v.	
15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17	BILL ADAMSON, et al.,	3:09-cv-0715-LDG-RAM (Adamson II)
18	Plaintiffs,	(Framinoni II)
	v.	
19	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
20	Defendant.	
21	JASON AMES, et al.,	3:10-cv-0463-LDG-RAM
22	Plaintiffs,	
23	v.	
24	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
25	Defendant.	
26		-

THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that, given the order approving good faith settlement (#658) in Kroshus v. United States (Kroshus I), 3:08-cv-0246-LDG-RAM, defendant Lyon County's motion for summary judgment (#564) in that case is DENIED as moot.

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that, given the order approving good faith settlement (#270) in Kroshus v. United States (Kroshus II), 3:09-cv-0713-LDG-RAM, defendant Lyon County's motion for summary judgment (#195) in that case is DENIED as moot.

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that, given this court's order signed August 12, 2011, granting in part and denying in part the United States' motion to dismiss (#20) in <u>Adgett v. United States</u>, 3:09-cv-0649-LDG-RAM, the United States' motion to renew and reinstate that motion (#69) is DENIED as moot.

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that, given the court's ruling from the bench on June 13, 2011, granting the United States' motion to strike jury demand and to strike claims for prejudgment interest (#19) in <u>Adgett v. United States</u>, 3:09-cv-0649-LDG-RAM, the United States' motion to renew and reinstate that motion (#71) is DENIED as moot.

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that, based on the similar reasoning used by the court in its order signed on August 12, 2011, the United States' motion to dismiss duplicative claims by certain plaintiffs (#45) in Kroshus v. United States (Kroshus II), 3:09-cv-713-LDG-RAM, is hereby GRANTED.

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that, given the court's order signed August 12, 2011, granting the United States' motion to dismiss duplicative claims (#21) and granting in part and denying in part the United States' motion to dismiss (#23) in <u>Adamson v. United States (Adamson II 3:09-cv-0715-LDG-RAM</u>, the United States' motions (#72 and #73) to renew those motions are DENIED as moot.

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that, given the court's ruling from the bench on June 13, 2011, granting the United States' motion to strike jury demand and claims for prejudgment

1	interest and attorney's fees (#20) in Adamson v. United States (Adamson II), 3:09-cv-0715-LDG-
2	RAM, the United States' motion to renew that motion (#75) is DENIED as moot.
3	
4	DATED this
5	V hand W
6	Lloyd D. George
7	Lloyd D. George United States District Judge
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	