Doc. 149

| 1 | in his opposition brief with some elaboration. There is no argument asserted in Defendants' reply |
|----------|---|
| 2 | brief that Plaintiff could not have originally addressed in his opposition brief. |
| 3 | Accordingly, Defendants' motion to strike the sur-reply (Doc. # 147) is GRANTED and |
| 4 | the Clerk shall STRIKE Plaintiff's sur-reply (Doc. # 146). |
| 5 | |
| 6 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 7 | DATED: February 3, 2014. |
| 8 | |
| 9 | Willen of Colf |
| 10 | WILLIAM G. COBB UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 11 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | |