-WGC Blake v. Baker et al		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8		
9	ALFONSO MANUEL BLAKE,	
10	Petitioner,) 3:09-cv-00327-RCJ-WGC
11	vs.)) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE)
12	RENEE BAKER, et al.,	
13	Respondents.	
14		
15	On January 6, 2012, this court issued an order for petitioner Blake to show cause why this	
16	case should not be dismissed without prejudice as unexhausted pursuant to <i>Rose v. Lundy</i> , 455 U.S.	
17	509, 522 (1982). Docket #85. On January 13, 2012, Blake filed a response indicating that he	
18	chooses to not abandon his unexhausted claims. Docket #86.	
19	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED without prejudice for	
20	failure to exhaust state remedies pursuant to the total exhaustion rule of <i>Rose v. Lundy</i> , 455 U.S. 509,	
21	522 (1982). The Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly.	
22	DATED: This 18 th day of January, 2012. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
23		
24		
25		
26		