

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

VITALY ZAKOUTO,

Plaintiff,

v.

HOWARD SKOLNIK, *et al.*,

Defendants.

3:09-CV-00334-RCJ(RAM)

**ORDER**

15 Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate  
16 Judge (ECF No. 27) ("Recommendation") entered on February 14, 2011, in which the  
17 Magistrate Judge recommends that this Court grant Defendants' Motion for Summary  
18 Judgment (ECF Nos. 19 and 20).

19 No objection to the Report and Recommendation has been filed.

20 **I. DISCUSSION**

21 This Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or  
22 recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Further, under 28 U.S.C.  
23 § 636(b)(1), if a party makes a timely objection to the magistrate judge's recommendation,  
24 then this Court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the [report  
25 and recommendation] to which objection is made."<sup>1</sup> Nevertheless, the statute does not  
26 "require[ ] some lesser review by [this Court] when no objections are filed." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474

27  
28

---

<sup>1</sup> For an objection to be timely, a party must serve and file it within 10 days after being served with the magistrate judge's report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).


1 U.S. 140, 149–50 (1985). Instead, under the statute, this Court is not required to conduct “any  
 2 review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Id.* at 149. Similarly, the  
 3 Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s  
 4 report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See United States v. Reyna-  
 5 Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review employed by the  
 6 district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no objections were made);  
 7 see also Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F.Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003), (reading the Ninth  
 8 Circuit’s decision in Reyna-Tapia as adopting the view that district courts are not required to  
 9 review “any issue that is not the subject of an objection.”). Thus, if there is no objection to a  
 10 magistrate judge’s recommendation, then this Court may accept the recommendation without  
 11 review. See e.g., Johnstone, 263 F.Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate  
 12 judge’s recommendation to which no objection was filed).

13 In this case, there have been no objections filed to the Magistrate Judge’s Report and  
 14 Recommendation. Although no objection was filed, this Court has reviewed the Report and  
 15 Recommendation (ECF No. 27) and accepts it. Accordingly,

16 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF Nos.  
 17 19 and 20) are GRANTED.

18 The Clerk of the Court shall enter Judgment accordingly.

19 Dated: This 1st day of June, 2011.

20  
 21   
 22 \_\_\_\_\_  
 23 ROBERT C. JONES  
 24 Chief District Court Judge  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28