Israni v. Bittman et al Doc. 15

AO 450 (Rev. 5/85) Judgment in a Civil Case ⊕

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

***** DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

| - |) 3:09-CV-0467-ECR-RAM (Base Case)) Member Cases 3:09-CV-0489,) 3:09-CV-0536, and 3:09-CV-0542 |
|--|--|
| Plaintiff, |) |
| VS. |)) |
| THOMAS J. MATTHEWS, et al., |)) |
| Defendants |)) |
| and |)) |
| INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY, |)) |
| Nominal Defendant |))) |
| | |
| JEANNE M. CALAMORE, derivatively on behalf of INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY, |) 3:09-CV-0489-ECR-VPC |
| derivatively on behalf of INTERNATIONAL |) 3:09-CV-0489-ECR-VPC))) |
| derivatively on behalf of INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY, |) 3:09-CV-0489-ECR-VPC))))) |
| derivatively on behalf of INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY, Plaintiff, |) 3:09-CV-0489-ECR-VPC))))))) |
| derivatively on behalf of INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY, Plaintiff, vs. |) 3:09-CV-0489-ECR-VPC))))))))))) |
| derivatively on behalf of INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY, Plaintiff, vs. THOMAS J. MATTHEWS, et al., |) 3:09-CV-0489-ECR-VPC)))))))))))))) |
| derivatively on behalf of INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY, Plaintiff, vs. THOMAS J. MATTHEWS, et al., Defendants |))))))))))))))))))) |

| SANJAY ISRANI, derivatively) on behalf of) | 3:09-CV-0536-ECR-RAM |
|---|----------------------|
| INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY) | |
| Plaintiff, | |
| vs.) | |
| ROBERT A. BITTMAN, et al., | |
| Defendants) | |
| and) | |
| INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY,) | |
| Nominal Defendant) | |
| <pre>IRINA ARONSON, derivatively) on behalf of)</pre> | 3:09-CV-0542-ECR-VPC |
| INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY) | |
| Plaintiff,) | |
| vs.) | |
| THOMAS J. MATTHEWS, et al.,) | |
| Defendants) | |
| and) | |
| INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY,) | |
| Nominal Defendant) | |

X Decision by Court. This action came to be considered before the Court. The issues have been considered and a decision has been rendered.

On July 2, 2010, the Court Ordered (#81) that IGT's Motion to Dismiss (#54) be granted and that Plaintiffs would have twenty-one (21) days within which to file an amended complaint. Plaintiffs have not filed an amended complaint.

IT IS, THEREFORE, HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment is entered in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiffs.

Lance S. Wilson

Janus Wilson

August 5, 2010

Clerk

Date